

ON THE FAILURE OF GORENSTEINNESS AT WEIGHT 1 EISENSTEIN POINTS OF THE EIGENCURVE

ADEL BETINA, MLADEN DIMITROV AND ALICE POZZI

ABSTRACT. We prove that the cuspidal eigencurve $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}$ is étale over the weight space at any classical weight 1 Eisenstein point f and meets transversally each of the two Eisenstein components of the eigencurve \mathcal{C} containing f . Further, we prove that the local ring of \mathcal{C} at f is Cohen-Macaulay but not Gorenstein and compute the Fourier coefficients of a basis of overconvergent weight 1 modular forms lying in the same generalised eigenspace as f . In addition, we prove an $R = T$ theorem for the local ring of the closed subspace of \mathcal{C} given by the union of $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}$ and one Eisenstein component and prove unconditionally, via a geometric construction of the residue map, that the corresponding congruence ideal is generated by the Kubota-Leopoldt p -adic L -function. Finally we obtain a new proof of the Ferrero-Greenberg theorem and Gross' formula for its derivative at the trivial zero.

INTRODUCTION

Let p be any prime number and let N be a positive integer relatively prime to p . Denote by \mathcal{C} the reduced eigencurve of tame level N endowed with a flat and locally finite morphism κ to the weight space \mathcal{W} . The irreducible components of \mathcal{C} correspond either to Eisenstein or to cuspidal p -adic families of modular forms, and the study of how these meet plays a prominent role in the work [28] of Mazur and Wiles on the Iwasawa Main Conjecture for GL_1 over \mathbb{Q} .

Classical weight 1 newforms give rise to points in the ordinary locus of \mathcal{C} and are called irregular if they correspond to the unique p -stabilisation of a newform of level N whose Hecke polynomial at p has a double root, and regular otherwise. The main theorem in [3] describes the geometry of \mathcal{C} at all regular cuspidal points (\mathcal{C} is smooth at such points and there is a precise condition for κ to be étale) and one expects the geometry at irregular cuspidal points to be more involved, since κ is never étale at such points and there are even examples where \mathcal{C} is not smooth (see [17]).

The object of this paper is the study of the geometry of \mathcal{C} at classical weight 1 Eisenstein points. Fix an odd Dirichlet character ϕ of conductor N and consider the Eisenstein series

$$(1) \quad E_1(\mathbb{1}, \phi)(z) = \frac{L(\phi, 0)}{2} + \sum_{n \geq 1} q^n \sum_{d|n} \phi(d), \text{ where } q = e^{2i\pi z}.$$

The first author's acknowledges support from the ERC Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant Agreement No 682152) and from the EPSRC (Grant EP/R006563/1). The second author is partially supported by the ANR (Grant ANR-11-LABX-0007-01).

It is a newform of level N admitting the p -stabilisations

$$E_1(\mathbb{1}, \phi)(z) - \phi(p)E_1(\mathbb{1}, \phi)(pz) \quad \text{and} \quad E_1(\mathbb{1}, \phi)(z) - E_1(\mathbb{1}, \phi)(pz),$$

of U_p -eigenvalues 1 and $\phi(p)$, respectively, belonging to the Eisenstein components $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{1}, \phi}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\phi, \mathbb{1}}$. In particular, these two families intersect at weight 1 if and only if $\phi(p) = 1$, *i.e.* when $E_1(\mathbb{1}, \phi)$ is irregular. If $\phi(p) \neq 1$, the constant term of each one of these p -stabilisation is non-zero at some cusps in the multiplicative part of the ordinary locus of the modular curve $X^{\text{rig}}(\Gamma_0(p) \cap \Gamma_1(N))$, hence such forms are not cuspidal-overconvergent and belong to a unique Eisenstein component.

We thus restrict our attention to an Eisenstein series which is irregular at p and denote by f its unique p -stabilisation. In addition to belonging to the Eisenstein components $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{1}, \phi}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\phi, \mathbb{1}}$, f also belongs to the cuspidal locus $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}$ of \mathcal{C} , since it vanishes at all cusps of the multiplicative part of the ordinary locus of $X^{\text{rig}}(\Gamma_0(p) \cap \Gamma_1(N))$ (see Prop. 4.7).

Denote by $\Lambda = \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[[X]]$ (resp. \mathcal{T}) the completed local ring of \mathcal{W} at $\kappa(f)$ (resp. of \mathcal{C} at f). The weight map κ induces a finite, flat map $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ and a surjection $\Lambda[T_\ell, U_p]_{\ell \nmid Np} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{T}$.

Theorem A. *(i) The cuspidal eigencurve $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}$ is etale over \mathcal{W} at f . In particular there exists a unique cuspidal irreducible component \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{C} containing f .*

(ii) The Λ -algebra \mathcal{T} is Cohen-Macaulay but not Gorenstein, and is in fact isomorphic to

$$\Lambda \times_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \Lambda \times_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \Lambda = \{(a, b, c) \in \Lambda^3 \mid a(0) = b(0) = c(0)\}.$$

(iii) The image \mathcal{T}' of $\Lambda[T_\ell]_{\ell \nmid Np}$ in \mathcal{T} is a relative complete intersection over Λ given by

$$(2) \quad \left\{ (a, b, c) \in \Lambda \times_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \Lambda \times_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \Lambda \mid (\mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1}) + \mathcal{L}(\phi)) a'(0) = \mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1}) b'(0) + \mathcal{L}(\phi) c'(0) \right\},$$

where $\mathcal{L}(\phi)$ is the \mathcal{L} -invariant of ϕ defined in (15). Moreover $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}'[U_p]/(U_p - 1)^2$.

The non-smoothness of \mathcal{C} at f causes the vanishing at $s = 0$ of the Kubota-Leopoldt p -adic L -function $L_p(\phi\omega_p, s)$, ω_p being the Teichmüller character. Using the relation between $L_p(\phi\omega_p, s)$ and the constant term of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{1}, \phi}$, Darmon, Dasgupta and Pollack constructed a first order cuspidal deformation of f which played a pivotal role in their work [12] on the Gross-Stark conjecture over totally real number fields. Their strategy consists in taking a suitable combination of Eisenstein series and, via the action of certain Hecke operators, producing a cuspidal Hida family that, while not being an eigenform itself, yields a first order eigenform. Theorem A, and its expected generalisation to totally real number fields, gives a more precise result as it implies the uniqueness of the cuspidal deformation at first and in fact at any order.

When ϕ is quadratic, then f has CM by an imaginary quadratic field in which p splits, and Theorem A(i) implies that that congruence power series attached to the unique CM Hida family passing through f does not vanish at $X = 0$. This is used in a forthcoming work of Burungale, Skinner and Tian [8] on a conjecture of Perrin-Riou regarding the local non-triviality at p of p -adic Beilinson-Kato elements attached to elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} .

Our approach to the geometry of the eigencurve is Galois theoretic, and consists in proving a modularity theorem for the ordinary deformations of the Galois representation attached to f . However, the reducibility of the latter causes several issues. First, since the Artin representation $\mathbb{1} \oplus \phi$ attached to f is decomposable, its deformation functor is not representable in the sense of Mazur. Moreover, the irregularity assumption implies that $\mathbb{1} \oplus \phi$ is trivial on the decomposition group $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, creating an obstacle to imposing an ordinary deformation condition at p . In order to circumvent these difficulties we introduce two reducible indecomposable representations

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \phi & \eta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \text{ and } \rho' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \phi\eta' \\ 0 & \phi \end{pmatrix} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p),$$

where $[\eta]$ and $[\eta']$ are bases of the lines $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \phi) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1(\mathbb{1}, \phi)$ and $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \phi^{-1}) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1(\phi, \mathbb{1})$. The representations ρ and ρ' are essentially canonical and admit universal ordinary deformation rings $\mathcal{R}_{\rho}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\rho'}^{\mathrm{ord}}$. Finally we introduce a universal ring $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{cusp}}$ classifying pairs of ordinary deformations of (ρ, ρ') sharing the same traces and Frobenius action on the unramified $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -quotient. A substantial part of this paper is devoted to the computation of their tangent spaces based on Baker-Brumer's Theorem in transcendence theory. The vanishing of the relative tangent space of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{cusp}}$ allows us to deduce as in [3], that $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{cusp}}$ is unramified over Λ and isomorphic to the completed local ring $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{cusp}}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{cusp}}$ at f .

Whereas the \mathcal{T} -valued two dimensional pseudo-character of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ does not arise from an ordinary representation, because of the non-Gorensteinness of \mathcal{T} , we manage to prove a modularity result for $\mathcal{R}_{\rho}^{\mathrm{ord}}$, and for $\mathcal{R}_{\rho'}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ (see §4.4). Denote by $\mathcal{T}_{\rho}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ the completed local ring at f of the reduced equidimensional closed analytic subspace of \mathcal{C} given by the union of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{cusp}}$ and the component of \mathcal{C} corresponding to $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{1}, \phi}$ (see §3.1).

Theorem B. *There exists an isomorphism of relative complete intersection local Λ -algebras:*

$$\mathcal{R}_{\rho}^{\mathrm{ord}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}_{\rho}^{\mathrm{ord}}.$$

The Gorenstein property plays a prominent role in the theory of Hecke algebras, since it guarantees the freeness of the module of modular forms over those algebras. Theorem A thus provides a testing ground for challenging questions in Iwasawa theory, such as the construction of p -adic L -functions in a neighbourhood of a non-Gorenstein point of \mathcal{C} , and a formulation of a Main Conjecture at such a point. It further suggests that this remarkable phenomenon is related to the action of the U_p operator, as the p -deprived Hecke algebra \mathcal{T}' is Gorenstein and even a relative complete intersection over Λ (see Corollary 5.3).

Let $J_{\mathrm{eis}} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{cusp}}$ be the Eisenstein ideal associated to $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{1}, \phi}$ (see §4.1).

Theorem C. *There exists an isomorphism of Λ -algebras $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{cusp}}/J_{\mathrm{eis}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda/(L_p(\phi\omega_p, s))$.*

In the absence of a trivial zero (*i.e.* if $\phi(p) \neq 1$), this is a well known result of Mazur and Wiles [28] later generalized by Ohta [32] when $p \geq 5$ (see also Emerton [19]). Our proof uses

a geometric residue map from the space of Hida families onto the ordinary cuspidal group whose kernel consists of cuspidal Hida families. Its definition taps into Pilloni's geometric constructions [34] of p -adic families of modular forms, hence differs from Ohta's residue map. The surjectivity of the residue maps is deduced from the fact that the ordinary locus of the modular curve is an affinoid (see Prop.3.1), while the Kubota-Leopoldt p -adic L -function appears in the constant terms of the Eisenstein family $\mathcal{E}_{1,\phi}$ (see Prop.4.7).

Combining Theorems A and C yields a new proof of the famous result of Ferrero-Greenberg and Gross-Koblitz on the non-vanishing of $L'_p(\phi\omega_p, 0)$ (see Prop.4.9).

The failure of etaleness for the eigencurve at f , combined with the perfectness of the pairing between \mathcal{T} and the Λ -module of ordinary families specialising to f established in §5.2 implies, much like in the work of Darmon, Lauder and Rotger [14], the existence of non-classical forms in the generalised eigenspace associated to f . The q -expansion of such forms admits an explicit description in terms of p -adic logarithms of elements of the splitting field of ϕ . However, unlike their work in the cuspidal regular setting, not only units, but also p -units are involved in the expression of the \mathcal{L} -invariants.

Theorem D. *Let $M^\dagger[[f]]$ (resp. $S^\dagger[[f]]$) be the generalised eigenspace attached to f inside the space of weight 1 overconvergent modular forms (resp. cuspforms) of tame level N and central character ϕ . Then $S^\dagger[[f]] = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p f$, while a complement of $S^\dagger[[f]]$ in $M^\dagger[[f]]$ is spanned by*

$$f_{\phi,1}^\dagger = \sum_{n \geq 1} q^n \sum_{d|n, p \nmid d} \phi(d) \left(\text{ord}_p(n) \mathcal{L}(\phi) - \log_p \left(\frac{d^2}{n} \right) \right) \quad \text{and}$$

$$f_{1,\phi}^\dagger = (\mathcal{L}(\phi) + \mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1})) \frac{L(\phi, 0)}{2} + \sum_{n \geq 1} q^n \sum_{d|n, p \nmid d} \phi(d) \left(\text{ord}_p(n) \mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1}) + \log_p \left(\frac{d^2}{n} \right) \right),$$

where ord_p is the p -adic valuation and \log_p is the p -adic logarithm normalised by $\log_p(p) = 0$.

Determining the coefficients of the classical (non p -stabilized) form $E_1(1, \phi) \in M^\dagger[[f]]$ in the basis $\{f, f_{\phi,1}^\dagger, f_{1,\phi}^\dagger\}$ yields a new proof (see Cor.5.8) of Gross' formula [20] for the derivative at a trivial zero:

$$L'_p(\phi\omega_p, 0) = -\mathcal{L}(\phi)L(\phi, 0).$$

We close this introduction by illustrating, for $p = 5$ and $N = 11$, a rather striking analogy with Mazur's Eisenstein ideal [26]. Mazur observed that the weight 2 level $\Gamma_0(11)$ Eisenstein series is congruent modulo 5 to the unique and \mathbb{Z}_5 -valued weight 2 cuspform of level $\Gamma_0(11)$ and Merel [29] gave a numerical criterion for this uniqueness in terms of a non-vanishing of a tame derivative, in the sense of Mazur-Tate, of a zeta element $\zeta_\Delta \in (\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})[\Delta]$, where Δ denotes the 5-Sylow of $(\mathbb{Z}/11\mathbb{Z})^\times$. To draw a parallel with our current work we need lift the coefficients from \mathbb{Z}_5 to $\Lambda_\Delta = \mathbb{Z}_5[\Delta]$ which requires to take (ordinary) 5-stabilisations. The unique weight 2 Eisenstein series of level $\Gamma_0(5)$ has two 11-stabilisations both congruent modulo 5 to a unique weight 2 cuspform of level $\Gamma_0(55)$. All three can be lifted to weight 2 level $\Gamma_0(5) \cap \Gamma_1(11)$

modular forms with coefficients in Λ_Δ , and the corresponding local Hecke Λ_Δ -algebra has structure analogous to that of the Λ -algebra \mathcal{T} described in Theorem A(ii), in particular one can check that its cuspidal quotient is free of rank one over Λ_Δ . The constant term of one of the Eisenstein series vanishes, while the constant term $\zeta_\Delta = \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} a_\delta[\delta]$ of other belongs to the augmentation ideal of Λ_Δ , but not (in this case) to its square. Recall that by definition ζ_Δ specializes to $L(-1, \chi)$, for χ a character of Δ (considered as even Dirichlet character of conductor 11). The analogy goes further, as the tame derivative $\zeta'_\Delta = \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} a_\delta \log(\delta)[\delta]$ evaluated at the $\chi = \mathbb{1}$ does not vanish and its value differs from $\zeta(-1) = \frac{1}{12}$ by a ‘tame \mathcal{L} -invariant’ $\log(4)$, $\log : \Delta \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$ being a fixed discrete logarithm (see [24]).

CONTENTS

1. Ordinary Galois deformations	6
1.1. A canonical reducible non-split Galois representation attached to ϕ	6
1.2. Ordinary deformations of ρ	6
1.3. Reducible deformations of ρ	7
1.4. Cuspidal deformations of ρ	8
2. Tangent spaces	9
2.1. Tangent spaces for nearly ordinary deformations	9
2.2. An application of the Baker-Brumer Theorem	12
2.3. The tangent space for cuspidal deformations	14
2.4. Iwasawa cohomology	15
3. p -adic families containing f	17
3.1. Some basic facts on the eigencurve	17
3.2. Evaluation of ordinary families at cusps	19
3.3. Construction of an irreducible deformation of ρ	20
3.4. Etaleness of $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}$ over \mathcal{W} at f	20
3.5. Eisenstein components containing f	21
4. The theorem of Ferrero-Greenberg and modularity	22
4.1. The Eisenstein ideal	22
4.2. The full eigencurve and a duality	23
4.3. On the constant term of Eisenstein families	25
4.4. An application of Wiles’ numerical criterion	28
5. Local structure of the eigencurve at f	29
5.1. Failure of Gorensteinness of \mathcal{C} at f	29
5.2. Duality for non-cuspidal Hida families	31
5.3. Non-classical overconvergent weight 1 modular forms	32
References	34

1. ORDINARY GALOIS DEFORMATIONS

For a perfect field L we denote $G_L = \text{Gal}(\bar{L}/L)$ its absolute Galois group. We fix an embedding $\iota_p : \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ which determines an embedding $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \hookrightarrow G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and an embedding $\iota_\infty : \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which determines a complex conjugation $\tau \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$. All Galois representations are with coefficients in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ unless stated otherwise.

1.1. A canonical reducible non-split Galois representation attached to ϕ . Consider the unique element $\eta_{\mathbb{1}} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ whose restriction to (the image of $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ in) $H^1(\mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ corresponds via local class field theory to the p -adic logarithm \log_p , *i.e.* $\eta_{\mathbb{1}}(\text{Frob}_\ell) = \log_p(\ell)$ for all primes $\ell \neq p$.

Since ϕ is odd, one has $\dim_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \phi) = 1$ (see for example [3, (8)]). Let $[\eta] \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \phi)$ be the unique element whose restriction to $H^1(\mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ corresponds to \log_p .

Given any cocycle $\eta'' : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \phi$ representing a non-trivial element $[\eta''] \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \phi)$ the $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -representations $\begin{pmatrix} \phi & \eta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \phi & \eta'' \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ are always conjugated by an upper-triangular matrix which is unipotent if and only if $[\eta] = [\eta'']$.

Since $\phi - 1$ is a basis of the coboundaries and $\phi|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} = 1$, the restriction $\eta|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ only depends on $[\eta]$, and $\eta|_{\mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is non-trivial since the restriction map $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \phi) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ is injective. Moreover, since $\phi(\tau) = -1$ there exists a unique cochain η representing the cocycle $[\eta]$ such that $\eta(\tau) = 0$. We let $\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \phi & \eta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ in the canonical basis (e_1, e_2) of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^2$.

1.2. Ordinary deformations of ρ . Let \mathfrak{C} be the category of complete noetherian local $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ -algebras A with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_A and residue field $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, where the morphisms are local homomorphisms of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ -algebras inducing identity on the residue field (note that $A/\mathfrak{m}_A = \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ canonically as $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ -algebras).

Consider the functor $\mathcal{D}_\rho^{\text{univ}}$ assigning to $A \in \mathfrak{C}$ the set of lifts $\rho_A : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(A)$ of ρ modulo strict equivalence (*i.e.* conjugation by an element of $1 + M_2(\mathfrak{m}_A)$). Since ϕ and $[\eta]$ are both non-trivial, the centraliser of the image of ρ consists only of scalar matrices, hence $\mathcal{D}_\rho^{\text{univ}}$ is representable by a universal deformation ring $\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{univ}}$ (see [27]).

Denote by $V_\rho = \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^2$ the representation space of ρ . There exists a unique $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -stable (hence $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -stable) filtration with unramified quotient

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow V'_\rho = \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p e_1 \rightarrow V_\rho \rightarrow V''_\rho \rightarrow 0.$$

Let \mathcal{D}_{fil} be the functor assigning to $A \in \mathfrak{C}$ the set of free A -submodules $V'_A \subset A^2$ such that $V'_A \otimes_A \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p = V'_\rho$ (note that V'_A is then necessarily a direct rank 1 summand of V_A). It is representable by $\mathcal{R}_{\text{fil}} \cong \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[[Y]]$ where the universal submodule has basis $e_1 + Y e_2$.

Definition 1.1. The functor $\mathcal{D}_\rho^{\text{ord}}$ assigns to $A \in \mathfrak{C}$ the set of tuples (V_A, V'_A) such that

- (i) $V_A = A^2$ is endowed with continuous A -linear $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -action, denoted $\rho_A : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(A)$, such that $V_A \otimes_A \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p = V_\rho$ as $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules, and

(ii) $V'_A \subset V_A$ is a free direct factor over A of rank 1 which is $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -stable and such that $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ acts on $V''_A = V_A/V'_A$ by an unramified character, denoted χ_A , modulo strict equivalence relation $(\rho_A, V'_A) \sim (P\rho_AP^{-1}, P \cdot V'_A)$ with $P \in 1 + M_2(\mathfrak{m}_A)$.

One has $V'_A \otimes_A \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p = V'_\rho$ since the latter is the unique $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -stable line in V_ρ . Therefore $\mathcal{D}_\rho^{\text{ord}}$ is a sub-functor of $\mathcal{D}_\rho^{\text{univ}} \times \mathcal{D}_{\text{fil}}$ defined by a closed condition guaranteeing its representability by a quotient $\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{ord}}$ of $\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{univ}} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{R}_{\text{fil}} = \mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{univ}}[[Y]]$. The following proposition describes explicitly the kernel of $\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{univ}}[[Y]] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{ord}}$ and shows that $\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{ord}}$ is in fact a quotient of $\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{univ}}$.

Lemma 1.2. *The functor $\mathcal{D}_\rho^{\text{ord}}$ is representable by a quotient $\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{ord}}$ of $\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{univ}}$.*

Proof. Write $\rho_{\text{univ}}(g) = \begin{pmatrix} a(g) & b(g) \\ c(g) & d(g) \end{pmatrix}$ in any basis of $V_{\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{univ}}}$. Since

$$(4) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -Y & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a(g) & b(g) \\ c(g) & d(g) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ Y & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a(g) + b(g)Y & b(g) \\ c(g) + (d(g) - a(g))Y - b(g)Y^2 & d(g) - b(g)Y \end{pmatrix}$$

the functor $\mathcal{D}_\rho^{\text{ord}}$ is representable by the quotient of $\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{univ}}[[Y]]$ by the ideal

$$(d(g) - 1 - b(g)Y, c(g) + (d(g) - a(g))Y - b(g)Y^2; g \in \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, g' \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}).$$

Choosing any $g_0 \in \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that $\eta(g_0) \neq 0$ yields an element $b(g_0) \in (\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{univ}})^\times$, hence $Y = \frac{d(g_0)-1}{b(g_0)} \in \text{Im}(\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{ord}})$ proving the lemma. \square

One can define the nearly-ordinary deformation functor $\mathcal{D}_\rho^{\text{n.ord}}$ by using the same definition as for $\mathcal{D}_\rho^{\text{ord}}$, but without imposing the quotient V''_A to be unramified. Then one can similarly show that $\mathcal{D}_\rho^{\text{n.ord}}$ is representable by a quotient $\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{n.ord}}$ of $\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{univ}}[[Y]]$, and a careful inspection of the proof of Lemma 1.2 shows that the $\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{n.ord}}$ is generated over $\text{Im}(\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{n.ord}})$ by a root of $b(g_0)Y^2 + (a(g_0) - d(g_0))Y - c(g_0)$, where $g_0 \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is such that $\eta(g_0) \neq 0$ (or equivalently a root of $U^2 - \text{tr}(\rho_{\text{ord}})(g_0)U + \det \rho_{\text{ord}}(g_0)$). We will see later (in Theorem 5.1) that $\mathcal{R}^{\text{n.ord}}$ is indeed quadratic over $\text{Im}(\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{n.ord}})$.

Finally let $\mathcal{D}_{\rho,0}^{\text{ord}}$ be the sub-functor of $\mathcal{D}_\rho^{\text{ord}}$ given by the deformations with fixed determinant equal to ϕ . Since $A \in \mathfrak{C}$ is the universal deformation ring of ϕ (see [3, §6]) the natural transformation $\rho_A \mapsto \det(\rho_A)$ endows $\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{ord}}$ with a natural structure of a A -algebra and $\mathcal{D}_{\rho,0}^{\text{ord}}$ is representable by $\mathcal{R}_{\rho,0}^{\text{ord}} = \mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{ord}}/\mathfrak{m}_A \mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{ord}}$.

1.3. Reducible deformations of ρ .

Definition 1.3. Let $\mathcal{D}_\rho^{\text{red}}$ be the subfunctor of $\mathcal{D}_\rho^{\text{ord}}$ consisting of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -reducible deformations.

Lemma 1.4. *The functor $\mathcal{D}_\rho^{\text{red}}$ is representable by a quotient $\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{red}}$ of $\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{ord}}$.*

Proof. Since the order 2 of the complex conjugation τ is invertible in $\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{univ}}$, the submodule $V_{\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{univ}}}^\pm$ of $V_{\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{univ}}}$ on which $\tau = \pm 1$, is free of rank 1 and $V_{\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{univ}}} = V_{\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{univ}}}^- \oplus V_{\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{univ}}}^+$. Let (e_-, e_+) be a basis of $V_{\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{univ}}}$ adapted to this direct sum decomposition *i.e.* a basis in which $\rho^{\text{univ}}(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Writing $\rho_{\text{ord}}(g) = \begin{pmatrix} a'(g) & b'(g) \\ c'(g) & d'(g) \end{pmatrix}$ in the basis (e^-, e^+) and applying (4) to the $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -stable line having as basis $e^- + Y'e^+$, one finds that $c'(g) + (d'(g) - a'(g))Y' - b'(g)Y'^2 = 0$ for all $g \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Plugging $g = \tau$ yields $Y' = 0$ and also shows that

$$\mathcal{R}_{\rho}^{\text{red}} = \mathcal{R}_{\rho}^{\text{ord}} / (c'(g); g \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}).$$

In other terms, τ provides a basis in which $\rho_{\text{red}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{R}_{\rho}^{\text{red}})$ is reducible. \square

While ρ is reducible and ordinary for the same filtration (3), this is not necessarily true for all its ordinary, reducible lifts. To account for this phenomenon, we introduce the following functor.

Definition 1.5. Let $\mathcal{D}_{\rho}^{\text{eis}}$ the subfunctor of $\mathcal{D}_{\rho}^{\text{red}}$ consisting of deformations which are reducible and ordinary for the same filtration, *i.e.* admit a rank 1 $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -quotient which is unramified at p .

Writing $\rho_{\text{ord}}(g) = \begin{pmatrix} a(g) & b(g) \\ c(g) & d(g) \end{pmatrix}$ in an ordinary basis yields the following result.

Lemma 1.6. *The functor $\mathcal{D}_{\rho}^{\text{eis}}$ is representable by a quotient $\mathcal{R}_{\rho}^{\text{eis}} = \mathcal{R}_{\rho}^{\text{ord}} / (c(g); g \in G_{\mathbb{Q}})$.*

Finally let $\mathcal{D}_{\rho,0}^{\text{red}}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\rho,0}^{\text{eis}}$ be the sub-functors of $\mathcal{D}_{\rho}^{\text{red}}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\rho}^{\text{eis}}$ respectively given by the deformations with fixed determinant equal to ϕ . By the discussion in §1.2 they are representable by $\mathcal{R}_{\rho,0}^{\text{red}} = \mathcal{R}_{\rho}^{\text{red}} / \mathfrak{m}_A \mathcal{R}_{\rho}^{\text{red}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\rho,0}^{\text{eis}} = \mathcal{R}_{\rho}^{\text{eis}} / \mathfrak{m}_A \mathcal{R}_{\rho}^{\text{eis}}$, respectively.

We will see in §2.1 that $\mathcal{D}_{\rho}^{\text{eis}}$ differs from $\mathcal{D}_{\rho}^{\text{red}}$.

1.4. Cuspidal deformations of ρ . We will exploit the interchangeability of the characters 1 and ϕ used in the definition of $\mathcal{D}_{\rho}^{\text{ord}}$ to define another deformation functor denoted $\mathcal{D}_{\text{cusp}}$ (together with its relative version $\mathcal{D}_{\text{cusp}}^0$), and show that it is representable by a universal deformation ring $\mathcal{R}_{\text{cusp}}$. We will later show that $\mathcal{R}_{\text{cusp}}$ is isomorphic to the local ring of the cuspidal eigencurve at f , thus justifying the notation.

Recall that in §1.1 we fixed a basis $[\eta] \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \phi)$ and constructed a representation $\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \phi & \eta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Since $\dim_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \phi^{-1}) = 1$ we can perform the following analogous construction. Fix a basis $[\eta'] \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \phi^{-1})$ such that $[\eta']|_{\mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ corresponds via local class field theory to the p -adic logarithm and let η' be the unique representative such that $\eta'(\tau) = 0$. Let $\rho' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \phi\eta' \\ 0 & \phi \end{pmatrix} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ and consider as in §1.2 the functor $\mathcal{D}_{\rho'}^{\text{ord}}$ which is analogously representable by a universal deformation algebra $\mathcal{R}_{\rho'}^{\text{ord}}$.

Definition 1.7. Let $\mathcal{D}_{\text{cusp}}$ be the functor assigning to $A \in \mathfrak{C}$ the set of equivalence classes of pairs $((\rho_A, \chi_A), (\rho'_A, \chi'_A))$ in $\mathcal{D}_{\rho}^{\text{ord}}(A) \times \mathcal{D}_{\rho'}^{\text{ord}}(A)$ such that

- (i) $\text{tr}(\rho_A) = \text{tr}(\rho'_A)$, $\det(\rho_A) = \det(\rho'_A)$ and
- (ii) $\chi_A(\text{Frob}_p) = \chi'_A(\text{Frob}_p)$.

Put $\rho_{\mathcal{R}} = \rho_{\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{ord}}}$, $\chi_{\mathcal{R}} = \chi_{\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{ord}}}$, and analogously $\rho'_{\mathcal{R}} = \rho_{\mathcal{R}_{\rho'}^{\text{ord}}}$, $\chi'_{\mathcal{R}} = \chi_{\mathcal{R}_{\rho'}^{\text{ord}}}$. By definition the functor $\mathcal{D}_{\text{cusp}}$ is representable by the quotient $\mathcal{R}_{\text{cusp}}$ of $\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{ord}} \widehat{\otimes}_\Lambda \mathcal{R}_{\rho'}^{\text{ord}}$ by the following ideal:

$$(\text{tr}(\rho_{\mathcal{R}})(g) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \text{tr}(\rho'_{\mathcal{R}})(g), \chi_{\mathcal{R}}(\text{Frob}_p) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \chi'_{\mathcal{R}}(\text{Frob}_p); g \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}).$$

Lemma 1.8. *The natural homomorphisms $\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{ord}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\text{cusp}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\rho'}^{\text{ord}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\text{cusp}}$ are surjective.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{R}^{ps} be the universal ring representing pseudo-deformations of the pseudo-character $\phi + \mathbb{1}$ (see [22, Lemma 1.4.2]). Since $\text{tr}(\rho_{\text{univ}})$ is a pseudo-character lifting $\phi + \mathbb{1}$, the universal property gives a homomorphism $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ps}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{univ}}$ which is surjective by [22, Cor.1.4.4(ii)]. Composing with the surjection $\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{univ}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{ord}}$ from Lemma 1.2 yields a natural surjection $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ps}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{ord}}$. It follows that $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{ord}}}$ is generated by $\text{tr}(\rho_{\mathcal{R}}) - \phi - 1$ and similarly for $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{R}_{\rho'}^{\text{ord}}}$. Using this and the fact that $(\text{tr}(\rho_{\mathcal{R}}) - \phi - 1) \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes (\text{tr}(\rho'_{\mathcal{R}}) - \phi - 1)$ have same image under $\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{ord}} \widehat{\otimes}_\Lambda \mathcal{R}_{\rho'}^{\text{ord}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\text{cusp}}$, one can show that $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{ord}}} \mathcal{R}_{\text{cusp}} = \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{R}_{\rho'}^{\text{ord}}} \mathcal{R}_{\text{cusp}}$. In other terms the natural homomorphisms $\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{ord}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\text{cusp}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\rho'}^{\text{ord}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\text{cusp}}$ are unramified morphisms of complete local noetherian rings having the same residue field, hence they are surjective. \square

Let $\mathcal{D}_{\text{cusp}}^0$ be the subfunctor of $\mathcal{D}_{\text{cusp}}$ consisting of deformations with fixed determinant equal to ϕ . It is representable by $\mathcal{R}_{\text{cusp}}^0 = \mathcal{R}_{\text{cusp}} / \mathfrak{m}_\Lambda \mathcal{R}_{\text{cusp}}$.

2. TANGENT SPACES

In this section we interpret the tangent spaces of the functors introduced in §1 using Galois cohomology and compute their dimensions. We will discover that all infinitesimal reducible deformations of ρ are necessarily ordinary.

2.1. Tangent spaces for nearly ordinary deformations. Let $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[\epsilon]$ denote the $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ -algebra of dual numbers. Recall that there is a natural isomorphism:

$$(5) \quad H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \text{ad}(\rho)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}_\rho^{\text{univ}}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[\epsilon]) = t_\rho^{\text{univ}}, \left[\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right] \mapsto \rho_\epsilon = (1 + \epsilon \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix})\rho$$

identifying $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \text{ad}^0(\rho))$ with $t_{\rho,0}^{\text{univ}}$, where $\text{ad}(\rho)$ (resp. $\text{ad}^0(\rho)$) is the adjoint representation of ρ (resp. the sub-representation on trace 0 elements $\text{End}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p}^0(V_\rho)$). The tangent spaces

$$(6) \quad t_\rho^{\text{ord}} = \mathcal{D}_\rho^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[\epsilon]), \quad t_\rho^{\text{red}} = \mathcal{D}_\rho^{\text{red}}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[\epsilon]), \quad \text{and} \quad t_\rho^{\text{eis}} = \mathcal{D}_\rho^{\text{eis}}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[\epsilon]).$$

of the functors defined in §1.2 and §1.3 are thus naturally subspaces of $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \text{ad}(\rho))$ that we will now determine precisely.

Let W_ρ be the kernel of the natural homomorphism $\text{End}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p}(V_\rho) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p}(V'_\rho, V''_\rho)$ of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -representations arising from (3) and let $W_\rho^0 = W_\rho \cap \text{End}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p}^0(V_\rho)$.

Let W'_ρ be the kernel of the natural homomorphism $W_\rho \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p}(V''_\rho, V''_\rho)$ and let $W'^0_\rho = W'_\rho \cap \text{End}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p}^0(V_\rho)$.

The basis (e_1, e_2) of $V_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$ in which $\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \phi & \eta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ yields an identification $\text{End}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p}(V_\rho) = \text{M}_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ under which W_ρ (resp. W'_ρ) corresponds to the subspace of the upper triangular matrices (resp. to the matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$).

Proposition 2.1. *One has $t_\rho^{\text{n.ord}} \simeq \text{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, W_\rho) \oplus \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, $t_\rho^{\text{eis}} \simeq \text{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, W'_\rho)$, $t_\rho^{\text{red}} = t_\rho^{\text{ord}} \simeq \text{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, W_\rho)$. Moreover $t_{\rho,0}^{\text{n.ord}} \simeq \text{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, W_\rho^0) \oplus \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ and $t_{\rho,0}^{\text{red}} = t_{\rho,0}^{\text{ord}} \simeq \text{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, W_\rho^0)$. Finally $t_{\rho,0}^{\text{eis}} = t_\rho^{\text{eis}} \cap t_{\rho,0}^{\text{ord}} = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Choosing any basis $(e_{1,\epsilon}, e_{2,\epsilon})$ of $V_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[\epsilon]}$ lifting the basis (e_1, e_2) of $V_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$, an infinitesimal deformation $[\rho_\epsilon]$ of ρ is represented by:

$$(7) \quad \rho_\epsilon = \left(1 + \epsilon \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right) \rho = \begin{pmatrix} \phi(1 + \epsilon a) & \epsilon b + \eta(1 + \epsilon a) \\ \epsilon \phi c & 1 + \epsilon d + \epsilon \eta c \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : \text{G}_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{ad } \rho$ is a cocycle. Also, as well-known, changing the basis $(e_{1,\epsilon}, e_{2,\epsilon})$ amounts to changing the cocycle $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ by a coboundary and one sees from

$$(8) \quad \rho \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \rho^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a + c\eta\phi^{-1} & b\phi + (d-a)\eta - c\eta^2\phi^{-1} \\ c\phi^{-1} & d - c\eta\phi^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

that the cocycle $c : \text{G}_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p(\phi^{-1})$ also changes by a coboundary, hence $[c] \in \text{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \phi^{-1})$ is uniquely determined by $[\rho_\epsilon] \in t_\rho^{\text{univ}}$. There is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow W_\rho \rightarrow \text{ad } \rho \rightarrow \phi^{-1} \rightarrow 0,$$

of $\text{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules yielding, since $\text{H}^0(\mathbb{Q}, \phi^{-1}) = \{0\}$, an exact sequence in cohomology

$$(9) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, W_\rho) \rightarrow \text{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \text{ad } \rho) \rightarrow \text{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \phi^{-1}) \rightarrow \text{H}^2(\mathbb{Q}, W_\rho),$$

where the map $\text{ad } \rho \rightarrow \phi^{-1}$ is given by $\left[\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right] \mapsto [c]$.

Since $\rho|_{\text{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is indecomposable, any $\rho_\epsilon(\text{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ -stable $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[\epsilon]$ -line has $e_{1,\epsilon} + \epsilon y \cdot e_{2,\epsilon}$ as basis for some $y \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. It follows from (7) that for $L = \mathbb{Q}$ or \mathbb{Q}_p one has

$$\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[\epsilon](e_{1,\epsilon} + \epsilon y \cdot e_{2,\epsilon}) \text{ is } \rho_\epsilon(\text{G}_L)\text{-stable} \iff c(g) = y(1 - \phi^{-1}(g)) \text{ for all } g \in \text{G}_L.$$

Since the restriction map $\text{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \phi^{-1}) \rightarrow \text{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ is injective one deduces that:

$$(10) \quad \rho_\epsilon \text{ is } \text{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}\text{-reducible} \iff \rho_\epsilon \text{ is nearly-ordinary} \iff [c] = 0 \xLeftrightarrow{(9)} [\rho_\epsilon] \in \text{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, W_\rho).$$

However since $\phi(\tau) \neq 1$ the $\text{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -stable line is unique (when exists), while $\phi(\text{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}) = \{1\}$ implies that if there exists a $\text{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -stable line then they all are. In particular $t_\rho^{\text{n.ord}} \simeq \text{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, W_\rho) \oplus \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$.

To prove that $t_\rho^{\text{red}} = t_\rho^{\text{ord}} \simeq \text{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, W_\rho)$ it suffices then to show that any nearly-ordinary ρ_ϵ (in particular any reducible ρ_ϵ) is in fact ordinary, *i.e.* there exists a $\text{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -stable line $V'_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[\epsilon]} = \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[\epsilon](e_{1,\epsilon} + \epsilon y \cdot e_{2,\epsilon})$ such that $\text{I}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ acts trivially on the quotient. It follows from (4)

and (7) that the restriction to $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of a nearly-ordinary ρ_ϵ in the basis $(e_{1,\epsilon} + \epsilon y \cdot e_{2,\epsilon}, e_{2,\epsilon})$ is given by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\epsilon y & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \epsilon a & \eta + \epsilon(b + \eta a) \\ 0 & 1 + \epsilon d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \epsilon y & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \epsilon(a + \eta y) & \eta + \epsilon(b + \eta a) \\ 0 & 1 + \epsilon(d - \eta y) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence $I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ acts trivially on $V_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[\epsilon]}/V'_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[\epsilon]}$ if and only if $d = y\eta$ on $I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Now, since $c_{|G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} = 0$, it follows that $d_{|G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ and since $\eta_{|I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is a basis of the image of the restriction map $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \rightarrow H^1(I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$, there exists a unique $y \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ for which $d_{|I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} = y\eta_{|I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$.

Since $W_\rho \simeq W_\rho^0 \oplus \mathbb{1}$, it is clear that $t_{\rho,0}^{\text{n.ord}} \simeq H^1(\mathbb{Q}, W_\rho^0) \oplus \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ and $t_{\rho,0}^{\text{red}} = t_{\rho,0}^{\text{ord}} \simeq H^1(\mathbb{Q}, W_\rho^0)$.

By definition t_ρ^{eis} (resp. $t_{\rho,0}^{\text{eis}}$) is the subspace of t_ρ^{red} (resp. $t_{\rho,0}^{\text{red}}$) consisting of reducible deformations with quotient unramified at p , hence:

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} t_\rho^{\text{eis}} &\simeq \ker(H^1(\mathbb{Q}, W_\rho) \rightarrow H^1(I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, W_\rho/W'_\rho)) = \ker(H^1(\mathbb{Q}, W_\rho) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}, W_\rho/W'_\rho)), \\ t_{\rho,0}^{\text{eis}} &\simeq \ker(H^1(\mathbb{Q}, W_\rho^0) \rightarrow H^1(I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, W_\rho^0/W_\rho'^0)) = \ker(H^1(\mathbb{Q}, W_\rho^0) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}, W_\rho^0/W_\rho'^0)). \end{aligned}$$

By (8) one has the following exact sequences of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow W'_\rho \rightarrow W_\rho \rightarrow \mathbb{1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \rightarrow W_\rho'^0 \rightarrow W_\rho^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{1} \rightarrow 0.$$

yielding, since $H^0(\mathbb{Q}, \rho) = \{0\}$, long exact sequences in cohomology

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} H^0(\mathbb{Q}, W_\rho) &\xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(\mathbb{Q}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}, W'_\rho) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}, W_\rho) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}, W_\rho/W'_\rho), \\ H^0(\mathbb{Q}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p) &\xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \phi) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}, W_\rho^0) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}, W_\rho^0/W_\rho'^0). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used that $W'_\rho \simeq \rho$ and $W_\rho'^0 \simeq \phi$, since by (8) one has $\rho \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rho^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b\phi - a\eta \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. It follows then immediately from (11) that $t_\rho^{\text{eis}} = H^1(\mathbb{Q}, W'_\rho)$ and $t_{\rho,0}^{\text{eis}} = \{0\}$. \square

To determine the dimensions of these cohomology groups we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *One has $\dim_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho) = 1$ and $\dim_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} H^2(\mathbb{Q}, \rho) = 0$.*

Proof. The global Euler characteristic formula yields:

$$\dim H^2(\mathbb{Q}, \phi) = \dim H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \phi) - \dim H^0(\mathbb{Q}, \phi) + \dim H^0(\mathbb{R}, \phi) - \dim(\phi) = 1 - 0 + 0 - 1 = 0.$$

Since $W'_\rho \simeq \rho$ the exact sequence (12) implies that $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho) \simeq H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ is 1-dimensional. Another application of Euler's global characteristic formula yields $H^2(\mathbb{Q}, \rho) = \{0\}$. \square

Since $W_\rho^0 \simeq \rho \simeq W'_\rho$ it follows then from Proposition 2.1 that

$$(13) \quad \dim t_\rho^{\text{red}} = \dim t_\rho^{\text{ord}} = 2, \quad \dim t_\rho^{\text{eis}} = \dim t_{\rho,0}^{\text{red}} = \dim t_{\rho,0}^{\text{ord}} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad t_{\rho,0}^{\text{eis}} = \{0\}.$$

Remark 2.3. The above tangent space computation shows that one can construct a deformation $[\rho_\epsilon] \in \mathcal{D}_\rho^{\text{red}}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}[\epsilon])$ such that $\rho_\epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & \chi'_\epsilon \end{pmatrix}$ in some basis with χ'_ϵ ramified at p , while in another basis (e_1, e_2) one has $\rho_{\epsilon|G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & \chi_\epsilon \end{pmatrix}$ with χ_ϵ unramified, but the line $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[\epsilon]e_1$ not $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -stable. This situation occurs because ϕ is trivial on $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.

Remark 2.4. Given any deformation ρ_ϵ of ρ , the proof of Lemma 1.4 guarantees the existence of a basis $(e_\epsilon^-, e_\epsilon^+)$ of $V_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[\epsilon]}$ in which $\rho_\epsilon(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. This determines a cocycle $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow M_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ representing $[\rho_\epsilon] \in t_\rho^{\text{univ}}$, where $M_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ is endowed with the adjoint action of $\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \phi & \eta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Since $\phi(\tau) \neq 1$ and $c(\tau) = 0$, it then follows from (10) that $[\rho_\epsilon] \in t_\rho^{\text{red}}$ if and only if $c = 0$, in other terms $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow W_\rho$. Moreover $[\rho_\epsilon] \in t_\rho^{\text{eis}}$ if and only if $d \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ is unramified, *i.e.* $d = 0$, in other terms $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow W'_\rho$.

2.2. An application of the Baker-Brumer Theorem. The fixed field H of $\ker(\phi) \subset G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a totally imaginary cyclic extension of \mathbb{Q} of degree $2r$, in which p splits completely. The embedding $\iota_p : \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ determines a place v_0 of H and an embedding $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = G_{H_{v_0}} \subset G_H$ yielding a canonical restriction map

$$(14) \quad \text{res}_p : H^1(H, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p).$$

Fixing a generator σ of $G = \text{Gal}(H/\mathbb{Q})$ allows us to number the places in H above p as $v_i = v_0 \circ \sigma^i$, $0 \leq i \leq 2r - 1$. Let \mathcal{O}_H (resp. \mathcal{O}_{v_i}) be the ring of integers of H (resp. H_{v_i}).

Recall the standard choice of p -adic logarithm \log_p sending p to 0. Denoting $\text{ord}_p : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the valuation, we consider the $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ -linear maps

$$\begin{aligned} \log_{v_0} : \mathcal{O}_H[\frac{1}{p}]^\times \otimes \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p &\longrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p & \text{ord}_{v_0} : \mathcal{O}_H[\frac{1}{p}]^\times \otimes \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p &\longrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p \\ u \otimes x &\mapsto \log_p(\iota_p(u))x & u \otimes x &\mapsto \text{ord}_p(\iota_p(u))x \end{aligned}$$

Given any *odd* character ψ of G , the ψ^{-1} -eigenspace of $\mathcal{O}_H[\frac{1}{p}]^\times \otimes \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ is a line; let u_ψ be its basis. Note that $\text{ord}_{v_0}(u_\psi) \neq 0$ since otherwise, by ψ^{-1} -equivariance, one would have $\text{ord}_{v_i}(u_\psi) = \text{ord}_{v_0}(\sigma^{-i}(u_\psi)) = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq 2r - 1$, which is impossible since the ψ^{-1} -eigenspace of $\mathcal{O}_H^\times \otimes \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ is zero. Following [12, (7)] we define the \mathcal{L} -invariant of ψ as

$$(15) \quad \mathcal{L}(\psi) := -\frac{\log_{v_0}(u_\psi)}{\text{ord}_{v_0}(u_\psi)} \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p.$$

As well known the ψ^{-1} -eigenspace of $H^1(H, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ is trivial unless ψ is odd or trivial, in which case it is isomorphic to the line $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \psi)$. Fix $[\eta_\psi] \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \psi)$ whose restriction to $\mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = \mathbb{I}_{H_{v_0}}$ corresponds to \log_p as in §1.1. Then

$$L_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} := \bigoplus_{\psi \text{ odd or } \psi=1} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}\eta_\psi$$

is a $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ -linear subspace of $L_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \otimes_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p = H^1(H, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$.

Proposition 2.5. *The element $\eta_\psi - \eta_1$ is unramified at p and $(\eta_\psi - \eta_1)(\text{Frob}_p) = \mathcal{L}(\psi^{-1})$.*

Proof. There is an exact sequence of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[G]$ -modules

$$(16) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G_H, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^{2r-1} \text{Hom}(H_{v_i}^\times, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_H[\frac{1}{p}]^\times, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p).$$

where $\xi : G_H \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ is sent to the collection of maps $\xi_i : H_{v_i}^\times \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, $0 \leq i \leq 2r-1$, defined by taking the restriction to $H_{v_i}^\times \subset \widehat{H_{v_i}^\times} \simeq G_{H_{v_i}}^{\text{ab}}$. Then $(\eta_\psi - \eta_{\mathbb{1}})(\text{Frob}_p) = (\eta_{\psi,0} - \eta_{\mathbb{1},0})(\varpi_0)$, where ϖ_0 denotes a uniformiser of H_{v_0} . Denoting by e the exponent of the Hilbert class group of H , there exists $x_0 \in \mathcal{O}_H[\frac{1}{p}]^\times$ whose valuation at v_0 is e , while it is 0 at any other place of H . We can write $x_0 = \varpi_0^e y$ with $y \in \mathcal{O}_{v_0}^\times$ and we have

$$(\eta_{\psi,0} - \eta_{\mathbb{1},0})(x_0) = (\eta_{\psi,0} - \eta_{\mathbb{1},0})(\varpi_0^e y) = e \cdot (\eta_{\psi,0} - \eta_{\mathbb{1},0})(\varpi_0) = e \cdot (\eta_\psi - \eta_{\mathbb{1}})(\text{Frob}_p)$$

Since $x_0 \in \mathcal{O}_H[\frac{1}{p}]^\times$ and $\eta_\psi - \eta_{\mathbb{1}} \in \text{Hom}(G_H, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ the sequence (16) implies that

$$(17) \quad (\eta_{\psi,0} - \eta_{\mathbb{1},0})(x_0) = - \sum_{i=1}^{2r-1} (\eta_{\psi,i} - \eta_{\mathbb{1},i})(x_0).$$

Since by definition η_ψ belongs to the ψ^{-1} -eigenspace for the G -action, it is entirely determined by $\eta_{\psi,0}$. More precisely one has $\eta_{\psi,i} = \psi(\sigma)^{-i}(\eta_{\psi,0} \circ \sigma^i)$ for all $0 \leq i \leq 2r-1$. Combining this with (17) and observing that $\sigma^i(x_0) \in \mathcal{O}_{v_0}^\times$ for every $1 \leq i \leq 2r-1$, we obtain

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} e \cdot (\eta_\psi - \eta_{\mathbb{1}})(\text{Frob}_{v_0}) &= (\eta_{\psi,0} - \eta_{\mathbb{1},0})(x_0) = - \sum_{i=1}^{2r-1} (\eta_{\psi,i} - \eta_{\mathbb{1},i})(x_0) = \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^{2r-1} (\psi(\sigma)^{-i} \eta_{\psi,0}(\sigma^i(x_0)) - \eta_{\mathbb{1},0}(\sigma^i(x_0))) = - \sum_{i=0}^{2r-1} (\psi(\sigma)^{-i} - 1) \log_p(\iota_p(\sigma^i(x_0))) \end{aligned}$$

because the restrictions of η_ψ and $\eta_{\mathbb{1}}$ to $\text{I}_{H_{v_0}}$ are given by \log_p . Observe first that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2r-1} \log_p(\iota_p(\sigma^i(x_0))) = \log_p(\iota_p(\text{N}_{H/\mathbb{Q}}(x_0))) \in \log_p(\iota_p(\pm p^{\mathbb{Z}})) = \{0\}.$$

Finally note that $\sum_{i=0}^{2r-1} \psi(\sigma)^{-i} \log_p(\iota_p(\sigma^i(x_0))) = \log_{v_0}(u_{\psi^{-1}})$, where $u_{\psi^{-1}} = \sum_{i=0}^{2r-1} \sigma^i(x_0) \otimes \psi(\sigma)^{-i}$ clearly belongs to the ψ -eigenspace of $\mathcal{O}_H[\frac{1}{p}]^\times \otimes \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, and $\text{ord}_{v_0}(u_{\psi^{-1}}) = \text{ord}_{v_0}(x_0 \otimes 1) = e$, hence $\log_{v_0}(u_{\psi^{-1}}) = -e \cdot \mathcal{L}(\psi^{-1})$ by definition (15). Combining this with (18) yields the claim. \square

Proposition 2.6.

- (i) The $\mathcal{L}(\psi)$ are linearly independent over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$, when ψ runs over all odd characters of G .
- (ii) The restriction to $L_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}$ of the map res_p defined in (14) is injective.

Proof. (i) Suppose that $\sum_{\psi \text{ odd}} m_\psi \mathcal{L}(\psi) = 0$ for some $m_\psi \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. As in the proof of Proposition 2.5, we denote by e the exponent of the Hilbert class group of H , and fix an element $x_0 \in \mathcal{O}_H[\frac{1}{p}]^\times$ with valuation e at v_0 and 0 at any other place of H . It follows that

$$\sum_{\psi \text{ odd}} m_\psi \sum_{i=0}^{2r-1} (\psi(\sigma)^i - 1) \log_p(\iota_p(\sigma^i(x_0))) = 0.$$

Since the $i = 0$ summand vanishes, letting $m_{\mathbb{1}} = -\sum_{\psi \text{ odd}} m_{\psi}$ the formula can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2r-1} \log_p(\iota_p(\sigma^i(x_0))) \left(\sum_{\psi \text{ odd or } \psi=\mathbb{1}} m_{\psi} \psi(\sigma)^i \right) = 0$$

The values $\{\log_p(\iota_p(\sigma^i(x_0)))\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2r-1}$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . To see this suppose $\log_p(\iota_p(x)) = 0$ for some element $x = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq 2r-1} \sigma^i(x_0)^{n_i}$ with $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\iota(x) \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_p^\times$ this implies that x is a root of unity in H leading to $n_i = \text{ord}_{v_i}(x) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 2r-1$. By the Baker-Brumer Theorem [2], the elements $\{\log_p(\iota_p(\sigma^i(x_0)))\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2r-1}$ are also linearly independent over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$, leading to

$$(19) \quad \sum_{\psi \text{ odd or } \psi=\mathbb{1}} m_{\psi} \psi(\sigma)^i = 0$$

for any $1 \leq i \leq 2r-1$. Moreover, since $m_{\mathbb{1}} = -\sum_{\psi \text{ odd}} m_{\psi}$, (19) holds for $i = 0$ as well.

Let $\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_r$ be a numbering of the odd characters of G . The condition (19) can be written as $(m_{\mathbb{1}}, m_{\psi_1}, \dots, m_{\psi_r}) \cdot M = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$, where

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & \psi_1(\sigma) & \psi_1(\sigma)^2 & \dots & \psi_1(\sigma)^{2r-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & \psi_r(\sigma) & \psi_r(\sigma)^2 & \dots & \psi_r(\sigma)^{2r-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $2r \geq r+1$ for every $r > 0$, M contains as a sub-matrix the Vandermonde matrix of $(1, \psi_1(\sigma), \dots, \psi_r(\sigma))$ which as well-known is invertible, implying that $m_{\psi} = 0$ for every ψ .

(ii) It suffices to notice that the kernel of the restriction map $L_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \rightarrow H^1(I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ is spanned by $\{(\eta_{\mathbb{1}} - \eta_{\psi})\}_{\psi \text{ odd}}$. Combining Proposition 2.5 with (i) yields the desired result. \square

2.3. The tangent space for cuspidal deformations. Let $t_{\text{cusp}} = \mathcal{D}_{\text{cusp}}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[\epsilon])$ and $t_{\text{cusp}}^0 = \mathcal{D}_{\text{cusp}}^0(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[\epsilon])$ be the tangent space and the relative tangent space to the functor $\mathcal{D}_{\text{cusp}}$.

Proposition 2.7. *We have $\dim_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} t_{\text{cusp}} = 1$ and $\dim_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} t_{\text{cusp}}^0 = 0$.*

Proof. By definition

$$t_{\text{cusp}} = \mathcal{D}_{\text{cusp}}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[\epsilon]) = \left\{ (\rho_{\epsilon}, \rho'_{\epsilon}) \in t_{\rho}^{\text{ord}} \times t_{\rho'}^{\text{ord}} \mid \text{tr}(\rho_{\epsilon}) = \text{tr}(\rho'_{\epsilon}) \text{ and } \chi_{\epsilon}(\text{Frob}_p) = \chi'_{\epsilon}(\text{Frob}_p) \right\}.$$

By Proposition 2.1 one has $t_{\rho}^{\text{ord}} \simeq t_{\rho}^{\text{red}} \simeq H^1(\mathbb{Q}, W_{\rho})$, an element of which can be written in the basis $(e_{\epsilon}^{-}, e_{\epsilon}^{+})$ of $V_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[\epsilon]}$ from Remark 2.4 as:

$$\rho_{\epsilon} = \left(1 + \epsilon \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \right) \rho = \begin{pmatrix} \phi(1 + \epsilon a) & \eta(1 + \epsilon a) + b\epsilon \\ 0 & 1 + \epsilon d \end{pmatrix}$$

for $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, W_{\rho})$. In particular $a, d \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$. Recall the generator $\eta_{\mathbb{1}}$ of $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ whose restriction at $I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is \log_p . Writing $a = \lambda \eta_{\mathbb{1}}$, $d = \mu \eta_{\mathbb{1}}$ with $\lambda, \mu \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ yields:

$$(20) \quad \text{tr}(\rho_{\epsilon}) = 1 + \phi + \epsilon(\lambda \phi + \mu) \eta_{\mathbb{1}} \text{ and } \det(\rho_{\epsilon}) = \phi(1 + \epsilon(\lambda + \mu) \eta_{\mathbb{1}}).$$

By the proof of Proposition 2.1, $e_\epsilon^- + \mu e_\epsilon^+$ is a basis of the ordinary filtration $V'_{\rho, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[\epsilon]}$, and the matrix of ρ_ϵ in the basis $(e_\epsilon^- + \mu e_\epsilon^+, e_\epsilon^+)$ is $\begin{pmatrix} \phi(1+\epsilon a) + \epsilon \mu \eta & (1+\epsilon a)\eta + b\epsilon \\ -\epsilon \mu(1-\phi) & 1 + \epsilon(d - \mu \eta) \end{pmatrix}$. By Proposition 2.5.

$$(21) \quad \chi_\epsilon(\text{Frob}_p) = 1 + \epsilon \mu (\eta_{\mathbb{1}} - \eta)(\text{Frob}_p) = 1 - \mu \mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1})\epsilon.$$

Since $\rho' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \phi \eta' \\ 0 & \phi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^{-1} & \eta' \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \phi$ one can describe $t_{\rho'}^{\text{ord}} \simeq t_{\rho' \otimes \phi^{-1}}^{\text{ord}}$ by simply replacing ϕ by ϕ^{-1} and η by η' in the above description of t_p^{ord} . One then finds that:

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{tr}(\rho'_\epsilon) &= \phi(1 + \phi^{-1} + \epsilon(\lambda' \phi^{-1} + \mu')\eta_{\mathbb{1}}) = 1 + \phi + \epsilon(\lambda' + \mu' \phi)\eta_{\mathbb{1}}, \\ \chi'_\epsilon(\text{Frob}_p) &= 1 + \epsilon \mu' (\eta_{\mathbb{1}} - \eta')(\text{Frob}_p) = 1 - \mu' \mathcal{L}(\phi)\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

From (20), (21) and (22) one sees that

$$(23) \quad (\rho_\epsilon, \rho'_\epsilon) \in t_{\text{cusp}} \iff \lambda = \mu', \mu = \lambda' \text{ and } \mu \mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1}) = \lambda \mathcal{L}(\phi).$$

By Proposition 2.6, $\mathcal{L}(\phi)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1})$ are non-zero, hence $\dim t_{\text{cusp}} = 1$.

To compute the relative tangent space t_{cusp}^0 it suffices to add to (23) the condition $\det \rho_\epsilon = \phi$, which is equivalent to $\lambda + \mu = 0$. This equation is linearly independent from (23) provided that $\mathcal{L}(\phi) + \mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1}) \neq 0$. By Proposition 2.6, $\mathcal{L}(\phi)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1})$ are linearly independent over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ if ϕ is not quadratic, while when ϕ quadratic one has $\mathcal{L}(\phi) = \mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1}) \neq 0$. In either cases $\dim_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} t_{\text{cusp}}^0 = 0$. \square

Corollary 2.8. *We have $t_p^{\text{ord}} = t_{\text{cusp}} \oplus t_p^{\text{eis}}$.*

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 2.7 one can use λ and μ as coordinates on t_p^{ord} and by (23) the equation defining t_{cusp} is $\mu \mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1}) = \lambda \mathcal{L}(\phi)$. Moreover as we have seen $\mathcal{L}(\phi) \neq 0$. On the other hand by Remark 2.4 the equation defining t_p^{eis} is $\mu = 0$. \square

2.4. Iwasawa cohomology. Let $\chi_p : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \twoheadrightarrow \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ be the p -adic cyclotomic character and let $\omega_p : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \twoheadrightarrow \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{2p})/\mathbb{Q}) = (\mathbb{Z}/2p)^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ be the p -adic Teichmüller character. Let $\nu = 2$ if $p = 2$ and $\nu = 1$ otherwise. The cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension \mathbb{Q}_∞ of \mathbb{Q} is the fixed field of

$$\chi_p \omega_p^{-1} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow 1 + p^\nu \mathbb{Z}_p$$

The universal cyclotomic character $\chi_{\text{cyc}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow A^\times$ is obtained by composing $\chi_p \omega_p^{-1}$ with

$$(24) \quad 1 + p^\nu \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[[1 + p^\nu \mathbb{Z}_p]]^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]^\times \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[[1 + p^\nu \mathbb{Z}_p]]^\times = A^\times$$

where the isomorphism in the middle sends $1 + p^\nu$ to $1 + X$. One has:

$$(25) \quad \chi_{\text{cyc}} \equiv 1 + \frac{\eta_{\mathbb{1}}(g)}{\log_p(1 + p^\nu)} X \pmod{X^2},$$

where $\eta_{\mathbb{1}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ is the cyclotomic homomorphism defined in §1.1 sending Frob_ℓ to $\log_p(\ell)$ for all $\ell \neq p$. It follows that

$$(26) \quad \frac{d}{dX} \Big|_{X=0} \chi_{\text{cyc}} = \frac{\eta_{\mathbb{1}}}{\log_p(1 + p^\nu)}.$$

Using class field theory one can show that $\Phi = \phi\chi_{\text{cyc}}$ is the deformation of ϕ to its universal deformation ring Λ (see [3, §6]). Let $\Phi^- = \phi^{-1}\chi_{\text{cyc}}$ and for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ let $\Phi_n^\pm = \Phi^\pm \pmod{X^n}$.

We show the existence of a non-torsion cohomology class in the Iwasawa cohomology group $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \Phi)$, where $G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}$ is the Galois group of the maximal extension of \mathbb{Q} unramified outside Np and ∞ . This will be used in §3.5 to show that there exists a surjection $\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{eis}} \rightarrow \Lambda$.

Proposition 2.9. (i) *One has $H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \Phi^\pm) = 0$. Moreover $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \Phi^\pm)$ is a free Λ -module of rank 1 and for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ the natural homomorphism is an isomorphism:*

$$H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \Phi^\pm) \otimes_{\Lambda} \Lambda/(X^n) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \Phi_n^\pm).$$

(ii) *For every $n \geq 1$, the natural restriction map is injective:*

$$H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \Phi_n^\pm) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, \Phi_n^\pm).$$

Proof. (i) The short exact sequence of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}]$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \Phi_{n-1}^\pm \xrightarrow{\cdot X} \Phi_n^\pm \rightarrow \phi^{\pm 1} \rightarrow 0$$

yields for $i \geq 1$ a long exact sequence in cohomology

$$(27) \quad H^{i-1}(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \phi^{\pm 1}) \rightarrow H^i(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \Phi_{n-1}^\pm) \xrightarrow{\cdot X} H^i(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \Phi_n^\pm) \rightarrow H^i(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \phi^{\pm 1}).$$

Since $H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \phi^{\pm 1}) = 0$ by the global Euler characteristic formula, one obtains $H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \Phi_n^\pm) = 0$ by induction on n (noting that $\Phi_1 = \phi$). Similarly since $\dim H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \phi^{\pm 1}) = 1$ and $H^0(\mathbb{Q}, \phi^{\pm 1}) = 0$, one deduces that $\dim_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \Phi_n^\pm) = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

The short exact sequence of $\Lambda[G_{\mathbb{Q}}]$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \Phi^\pm \xrightarrow{\cdot X^n} \Phi^\pm \rightarrow \Phi_n^\pm \rightarrow 0$$

yields a long exact sequence of Λ -modules in cohomology (see [30, Prop.3.5.1.3]):

$$(28) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \Phi^\pm) \xrightarrow{\cdot X^n} H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \Phi^\pm) \rightarrow H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \Phi_n^\pm) \rightarrow H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \Phi^\pm) \xrightarrow{\cdot X^n} H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \Phi^\pm) \rightarrow 0$$

According to [30, Prop.4.2.3], $H^i(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \Phi^\pm)$ is a Λ -module of finite type for $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Therefore, Nakayama's lemma applied to (28) for $n = 1$ implies that $H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \Phi^\pm) = 0$ while $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \Phi^\pm)$ is a cyclic Λ -module. Moreover (28) for an arbitrary n yields

$$H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \Phi^\pm) \otimes_{\Lambda} \Lambda/(X^n) \simeq H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \Phi_n^\pm)$$

which has dimension n . Hence $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \Phi^\pm)$ is a free Λ -module of rank 1.

(ii) Using (i) long exact sequence (27) yields a commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$(29) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \Phi_{n-1}^{\pm}) & \xrightarrow{\cdot X} & H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \Phi_n^{\pm}) & \twoheadrightarrow & H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \phi^{\pm 1}) \\ & & \text{res}_{n-1} \downarrow & & \text{res}_n \downarrow & & \text{res} \downarrow \\ H^0(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mathbb{1}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{n-1}} & H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \Phi_{n-1}^{\pm}) & \xrightarrow{\cdot X} & H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \Phi_n^{\pm}) & \twoheadrightarrow & H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mathbb{1}) \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are the restriction maps and δ_{n-1} is the connecting homomorphism whose image is generated by the cohomology class of the cocycle $g \mapsto \frac{\chi_{\text{cyc}}(g)-1}{X}$.

We argue by induction on n . The injectivity of $\text{res} = \text{res}_1$ follows from Class Field Theory. Suppose that res_{n-1} is injective for some $n \geq 2$. It suffices then to show that

$$\text{Im}(\text{res}_{n-1}) \cap \text{Im}(\delta_{n-1}) = \{0\}.$$

Let $\eta_{\Phi^{\pm}}$ be a generator of $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \Phi^{\pm})$ as a free rank one Λ module. Since $\text{Im}(\delta_{n-1})$ is X -torsion and res_{n-1} is injective, an element of the above intersection is a scalar multiple of $\text{res}_{n-1}(X^{n-2}\eta_{\Phi^{\pm}})$. Moreover, as any non-trivial element of $\text{Im}(\delta_{n-1})$ remains non-trivial when letting $X = 0$, the above intersection automatically vanishes for $n \geq 3$. Finally for $n = 2$, in virtue of (25) one has to show that $\text{res}(\eta_{\phi^{\pm}})$ and $\text{res}(\eta_{\mathbb{1}})$ generate distinct lines in $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mathbb{1})$ which follows from the fact that they have the same restriction to the inertia group, while taking different values on the Frobenius by Proposition 2.5. \square

3. p -ADIC FAMILIES CONTAINING f

In this section, we show that the completed local ring $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}$ of the cuspidal eigencurve $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}$ at f is isomorphic to the deformation ring $\mathcal{R}_{\text{cusp}}$ and conclude that the cuspidal eigencurve is etale over the weight space at f .

3.1. Some basic facts on the eigencurve. Let X/\mathbb{Z}_p be the proper smooth modular curve of level $\Gamma_1(N)$, $N \geq 4$, $E \rightarrow X$ be the generalised elliptic curve endowed with the identity section $e : X \rightarrow E$, $\omega = e^*(\Omega_{E/X})$ be the conormal sheaf and X^{rig} be the rigid analytic space attached to the generic fibre of X (note that by properness $X^{\text{rig}}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p) = X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$). The analytification of ω is an invertible sheaf on X^{rig} and will be denoted again by ω .

For $v > 0$ let $X(v)$ denote the overconvergent neighbourhood of the ordinary locus $X^{\text{ord}} = X(0)$ of X^{rig} (see [34, §3.1]). We recall that the weight space \mathcal{W} is the rigid analytic space over \mathbb{Q}_p such that

$$\mathcal{W}(\mathbb{C}_p) = \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \times (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}, \mathbb{C}_p^{\times}).$$

For \mathcal{U} an open admissible affinoid of \mathcal{W} we let

$$\kappa_{\mathcal{U}} : \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \times (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U})^{\times}$$

be the universal character, $\omega_{\mathcal{U}}$ be the invertible sheaf on $X(v) \times \mathcal{U}$ constructed in [34, §3] under the assumption that either $v = 0$, or that both $v > 0$ and \mathcal{U} are sufficiently small (see also

[1]). By construction, for any weight $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \cap \mathcal{U}$, the sheaf $\omega_{\mathcal{U}}$ specialises to the invertible sheaf $\omega^{\otimes k}$ on $X(v)$ (see [34, Prop.3.3]).

Let D be the divisor of $X(v) \times \mathcal{U}$ attached to the cusps (D does not depend on v as all cusps are contained in the ordinary locus X^{ord}) and consider the invertible sheaf $\omega_{\mathcal{U}}(-D)$. The spaces of overconvergent forms, resp. cuspforms, of slope at most $s \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ is defined as:

$$M_{\mathcal{U}}^{\dagger, \leq s} = \varinjlim_{v>0} H^0(X(v) \times \mathcal{U}, \omega_{\mathcal{U}})^{\leq s}, \text{ resp. } S_{\mathcal{U}}^{\dagger, \leq s} = \varinjlim_{v>0} H^0(X(v) \times \mathcal{U}, \omega_{\mathcal{U}}(-D))^{\leq s}.$$

Both $M_{\mathcal{U}}^{\dagger, \leq s}$ and $S_{\mathcal{U}}^{\dagger, \leq s}$ are locally free of finite type as modules over the Banach algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U})$. They are all contained when s varies in the space of p -adic forms, resp. cuspforms:

$$M_{\mathcal{U}} = H^0(X^{\text{ord}} \times \mathcal{U}, \omega_{\mathcal{U}}), \text{ resp. } S_{\mathcal{U}} = H^0(X^{\text{ord}} \times \mathcal{U}, \omega_{\mathcal{U}}(-D)).$$

Moreover by [34, Prop.6.2], any p -adic form of slope 0 is necessarily overconvergent.

Note that the existence of an odd Dirichlet character ϕ of conductor N implies that $N \geq 3$. Moreover if $N = 3$ then the condition $\phi(p) = 1$ implies that p splits in \mathbb{Z}_3 . In that latter case, we consider the proper smooth modular curve X/\mathbb{Z}_3 of level $\Gamma(3)$ and define $M_{\mathcal{U}}$ (resp. $S_{\mathcal{U}}$) as the $\Gamma_1(3)/\Gamma(3)$ -invariants in $H^0(X^{\text{ord}} \times \mathcal{U}, \omega_{\mathcal{U}})$ (resp. $H^0(X^{\text{ord}} \times \mathcal{U}, \omega_{\mathcal{U}}(-D))$).

By construction the eigencurve \mathcal{C} (resp. the cuspidal eigencurve $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}$) is a rigid analytic space over \mathbb{Q}_p admissibly covered by the affinoids attached to the $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U})$ -algebras generated by the Hecke operators $T_{\ell}, \ell \nmid Np$ and U_p acting on $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U})}(M_{\mathcal{U}}^{\dagger, \leq s})$ (resp. $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U})}(S_{\mathcal{U}}^{\dagger, \leq s})$), where both $s \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ and the open admissible affinoid $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{W}$ vary. Thus, we obtain a closed immersion $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ of reduced flat rigid curves over the weight space \mathcal{W} . Moreover, the weight map $\kappa : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is locally finite and flat. Similarly, one can obtain $\mathcal{C}_{\rho'}$ (resp. \mathcal{C}_{ρ}) by considering the $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U})$ -banach Hecke modules generated by $S_{\mathcal{U}}^{\dagger, \leq s}$ and the Eisenstein family $\mathcal{E}_{\phi, 1}$ (resp. $\mathcal{E}_{1, \phi}$).

There exists a ring homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[T_{\ell}, U_p]_{\ell \nmid Np} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{rig}}(\mathcal{C})$ allowing one to see T_{ℓ} and U_p as analytic functions on \mathcal{C} bounded by 1. It results a bijection between the set of normalised finite slope overconvergent Hecke eigenforms of weight $k \in \mathcal{W}$ and the points of $\kappa^{-1}(k) \subset \mathcal{C}$.

The locus of \mathcal{C} (resp. $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}$) where $|U_p|_p = 1$ is open and closed in \mathcal{C} (resp. $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}$), and is called the ordinary locus. It follows from the classicality criterion of overconvergent forms (see [11]) and the fact that classical weight are Zariski dense in \mathcal{W} that the classical points are Zariski dense in \mathcal{C} . Moreover it follows from [4, Cor.2.6] that $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}$ has a Zariski dense set of points corresponding to classical cuspforms of weight at least 2.

According to the works of Eichler-Shimura, Deligne and Deligne-Serre for any classical point $g \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ there exists a continuous Galois representation $\rho_g : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ unramified at all $\ell \nmid Np$ and such that $\text{tr}(\rho_g)(\text{Frob}_{\ell}) = T_{\ell}(g)$. Since $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is compact and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{rig}}(\mathcal{C})$ is a reduced ring, there exists a unique continuous two-dimensional pseudo-character

$$(30) \quad G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{rig}}(\mathcal{C})$$

sending Frob_ℓ to T_ℓ for all $\ell \nmid Np$ (see [10, §7]), interpolating $\text{tr}(\rho_g)$ for all classical points $g \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$.

We will now explain how classical eigenforms of level $\Gamma = \Gamma_1(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p)$ and slope $\leq s$ give rise to eigenforms in $M_{\mathcal{U}}^{\dagger, \leq s}$, hence points in \mathcal{C} . Let X_Γ be the proper flat semi-stable modular curve of level Γ over \mathbb{Z}_p endowed with a canonical forgetful morphism $\pi : X_\Gamma \rightarrow X$, giving rise to a morphism of rigid analytic spaces $X_\Gamma^{\text{rig}} \rightarrow X^{\text{rig}}$. The theory of the canonical subgroup yields, for a small enough $v > 0$, a section

$$(31) \quad X(v) \rightarrow X_\Gamma^\times(v)$$

of the latter morphism, where $X_\Gamma^\times(v)$ is a neighbourhood of the connected (multiplicative) component $X_\Gamma^\times(0)$ of the ordinary locus in X_Γ^{rig} , containing the cusp ∞ . A pullback along this section of the restriction to $X_\Gamma^\times(v)$ of a classical modular form of level Γ yields an overconvergent modular form of level $\Gamma_1(N)$, having the same q -expansion at ∞ . In particular, if the former is an eigenform vanishing at all cusps of $X_\Gamma^\times(0)$ (*i.e.* at all cusps lying in the $\Gamma_0(p)$ -orbit of ∞), then the overconvergent form defines a point in $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}$.

3.2. Evaluation of ordinary families at cusps. Denote by D the cuspidal divisor of X^{ord} .

Proposition 3.1. *Evaluation at the cusps gives the following exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U})$ -modules:*

$$(32) \quad 0 \rightarrow S_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{U}} \xrightarrow{\text{res}_{\mathcal{U}}} \prod_{\delta \in D} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. We have an exact sequence of sheaves on $X^{\text{ord}} \times \mathcal{U}$:

$$(33) \quad 0 \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{U}}(-D) \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{U}}/\omega_{\mathcal{U}}(-D) \rightarrow 0$$

where the support of quotient sheaf $\omega_{\mathcal{U}}/\omega_{\mathcal{U}}(-D)$ is $D \times \mathcal{U}$. Hence

$$\mathrm{H}^0(X^{\text{ord}} \times \mathcal{U}, \omega_{\mathcal{U}}/\omega_{\mathcal{U}}(-D)) = \mathrm{H}^0(D \times \mathcal{U}, \omega_{\mathcal{U}}/\omega_{\mathcal{U}}(-D)) = \mathrm{H}^0(D \times \mathcal{U}, \omega_{\mathcal{U}}).$$

Since $X^{\text{ord}} \times \mathcal{U}$ is an affinoid and $\omega_{\mathcal{U}}(-D)$ is a coherent sheaf (even invertible), one has

$$\mathrm{H}^1(X^{\text{ord}} \times \mathcal{U}, \omega_{\mathcal{U}}(-D)) = 0.$$

Applying the functor global sections $\mathrm{H}^0(X^{\text{ord}} \times \mathcal{U}, -)$ to (33), and further taking $\Gamma_1(3)/\Gamma(3)$ -invariants if $N = 3$, yields the desired result. \square

Hida's ordinary idempotent $e^{\text{ord}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U_p^{n!}$ preserves cuspforms, hence acts naturally on $\prod_{\delta \in D} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U})$. Applying it to (32) has the following consequence:

Corollary 3.2. *There exists a direct factor $C_{\mathcal{U}}$ of $\prod_{\delta \in D} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U})$ and exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U})$ -modules:*

$$(34) \quad 0 \rightarrow S_{\mathcal{U}}^{\dagger, 0} \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{U}}^{\dagger, 0} \xrightarrow{\text{res}_{\mathcal{U}}} C_{\mathcal{U}} := e^{\text{ord}} \left(\prod_{\delta \in D} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U}) \right) \rightarrow 0.$$

Remark 3.3. Under the assumption that $p \geq 5$, Ohta gave in [31, 32] a different description of the residue map on the ordinary part and proved its surjectivity.

3.3. Construction of an irreducible deformation of ρ . Consider the p -stabilised Eisenstein series f as in the introduction. By [13, Prop.1.3] (or Proposition 4.7 further below) the weight 1 eigenform f vanishes at all cusps of X_Γ lying in the $\Gamma_0(p)$ -orbit of ∞ , hence as explained above defines a point f in $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}$, which lies in the ordinary locus as $U_p(f) = 1$. Recall the completed local ring \mathcal{T} (resp. $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}$) of \mathcal{C} (resp. $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}$) at f and their maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{T}}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}}$).

Consider the pseudo-character $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}$ obtained by composing (30) with the algebra homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{rig}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}$ (the residual pseudo-character is given by $\phi + \mathbb{1}$).

Let $(L_i)_i$ be the fields obtained by localising $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}$ at the generic points of $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}})$ and recall that those correspond to the irreducible components of $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}$ containing f , *i.e.* to cuspidal Hida families specialising to f . Since $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}$ is reduced, we have $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}} \subset L = \prod_i L_i$. By [35, Thm.4] the pseudo-character $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}} \rightarrow L_i$ rises to a unique odd semi-simple Galois representation $\rho_{L_i} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(L_i)$ satisfying $\text{tr}(\rho_{L_i})(\text{Frob}_\ell) = T_\ell$ for $\ell \nmid Np$ and which is p -ordinary in the sense that $\rho_{L_i|G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ admits an unramified quotient on which Frob_p acts as U_p .

Proposition 3.4. *Denote $\chi_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}}$ the unramified character of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ sending Frob_p to U_p .*

- (i) *There exists an irreducible deformation $\rho_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}})$ of ρ such that $\text{tr}(\rho_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}})(\text{Frob}_\ell) = T_\ell$ for all $\ell \nmid Np$, and $\rho_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}|G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} = \begin{pmatrix} * & \\ 0 & \chi_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}}^* \end{pmatrix}$.*
- (ii) *There exists an irreducible deformation $\rho'_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}})$ of ρ' such that $\det(\rho'_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}}) = \det(\rho_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}})$, $\text{tr}(\rho'_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}}) = \text{tr}(\rho_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}})$ and $\rho'_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}|G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} = \begin{pmatrix} * & \\ 0 & \chi_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}}^* \end{pmatrix}$.*

Proof. The fact that the classical cuspforms are very Zariski dense in $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}$ (see §3.1) implies that ρ_{L_i} is absolutely irreducible for all i . Since $\rho(\tau)$ has distinct eigenvalues and $\dim_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \phi) = 1$, [5, Cor.2] implies that there exists an adapted basis of L^2 such that the representation $(\rho_{L_i})_i : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(L)$ takes values in $\text{GL}_2(\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}})$ and reduces to ρ modulo $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}}$. We denote this representation $\rho_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}}$. Since $\dim_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \phi^{-1}) = 1$ using the exact same argument yields a representation $\rho'_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}}$ whose trace and determinant agree with those of $\rho_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}}$, since they can be compared in the total field of fractions L , where they are obviously equal.

In order to prove the statement about the restrictions to $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, we write an exact sequence of $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}$ -modules analogous to the one in [3, (20)] and adapt the argument as follows. The fact that $\rho|_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ (resp. $\rho'_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$) has an infinite image and admits a unique $I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -stable line, shows that the last term of that exact sequence is a monogenic $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}$ -module, hence it is free, since it is generically free of rank 1 and $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}$ is reduced. \square

3.4. Etaleness of $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}$ over \mathcal{W} at f . In this section we give a proof of Theorem A(i).

Let Λ be the completed local ring of \mathcal{W} at $\kappa(f)$. The weight map κ induces a finite flat homomorphism $\kappa^\# : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}$ of local reduced complete rings. The local ring at f of the fibre $\kappa^{-1}(\kappa(f))$ is a local Artinian $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ -algebra given by $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}^0 = \mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}/\mathfrak{m}_\Lambda \mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}$. We will prove in this section that $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}$ is etale over Λ , or equivalently that $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}^0 \simeq \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$.

According to Proposition 3.4 we have $(\rho_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}}, \rho'_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}}) \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{cusp}}(\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}})$ yielding by functoriality a homomorphism of local rings

$$(35) \quad \varphi_{\text{cusp}} : \mathcal{R}_{\text{cusp}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}.$$

Since the Langlands correspondence relates the determinant to the central character, the homomorphism (35) is Λ -linear (see for example [3, Prop.6.11]). Reducing modulo \mathfrak{m}_Λ yields:

$$\varphi_{\text{cusp}}^0 : \mathcal{R}_{\text{cusp}}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}^0.$$

Theorem 3.5. *(i) Both φ_{cusp} and φ_{cusp}^0 are isomorphisms.*

(ii) The homomorphism $\kappa^\# : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. (i) The local ring $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}$ is topologically generated over Λ by U_p and T_ℓ for $\ell \nmid Np$. A direct consequence of Proposition 3.4 is that all those elements belong to the image of φ_{cusp} proving its surjectivity. Since by Proposition 2.7 the dimension of the tangent space of $\mathcal{R}_{\text{cusp}}$ is 1 and the local ring $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}$ is equidimensional of dimension 1, the surjective homomorphism φ_{cusp} is necessarily an isomorphism of complete local regular rings. Reducing modulo \mathfrak{m}_Λ proves that φ_{cusp}^0 is an isomorphism as well.

(ii) By Proposition 2.7 the tangent space of $\mathcal{R}_{\text{cusp}}^0$ is trivial, hence $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}^0$ has trivial tangent space as well, *i.e.* $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}^0 \simeq \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. Hence $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}$ is unramified and therefore it is an isomorphism, since both Λ and $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}$ are complete local rings with same residue field. \square

3.5. Eisenstein components containing f . The eigencurve \mathcal{C} has two irreducible components corresponding to Eisenstein families passing through f . We relate in this subsection the completed local rings of these components at f to universal deformation rings.

By Proposition 2.9, attached to $\mathcal{E}_{1,\phi}$ there exists of a $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -reducible deformation of ρ :

$$(36) \quad \rho_{\text{eis}} : \mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\Lambda), \text{ such that } \text{tr}(\rho_{\text{eis}}) = \phi\chi_{\text{cyc}} + \mathbb{1} \text{ and } \det(\rho_{\text{eis}}) = \phi\chi_{\text{cyc}}.$$

The irreducible component of \mathcal{C} corresponding to the Eisenstein family $\mathcal{E}_{1,\phi}$ is etale over the weight space, hence the completed local ring $\mathcal{T}_\rho^{\text{eis}}$ of this component at f is isomorphic to Λ .

Since ρ_{eis} admits a trivial (hence unramified at p) rank 1 quotient, it defines an element of $\mathcal{D}_\rho^{\text{eis}}(\Lambda)$ (see Definition 1.5), *i.e.* an algebra homomorphism

$$(37) \quad \mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{eis}} \rightarrow \Lambda$$

Lemma 3.6. *The map (37) is an isomorphism of local regular rings of dimension 1.*

Proof. Since $\det \rho_{\text{eis}} = \phi \chi_{\text{cyc}} : \mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \Lambda^{\times}$ is surjective, it follows that (37) is a surjective homomorphism of Λ -algebras (the Λ -structure coming from the determinant). By Lemma 2.1 the tangent space of $\mathcal{R}_{\rho}^{\text{eis}}$ is 1-dimensional, hence the surjection (37) is an isomorphism. \square

Let $\mathcal{T}_{\rho'}^{\text{eis}}$ be the completed local ring of the irreducible component of \mathcal{C} corresponding to the Eisenstein family $\mathcal{E}_{\phi, \mathbb{1}}$. Similarly to Definition 1.5 let $\mathcal{D}_{\rho'}^{\text{eis}}$ be the sub functor of $\mathcal{D}_{\rho'}^{\text{ord}}$ consisting of deformations which are reducible and ordinary for the same filtration. An arguing as in Lemmas 1.6 and 3.6 with $\rho' \otimes \phi^{-1}$ (resp. ϕ^{-1}) replaced by ρ (resp. ϕ) shows that $\mathcal{D}_{\rho'}^{\text{eis}}$ is representable by a regular ring $\mathcal{R}_{\rho'}^{\text{eis}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}_{\rho'}^{\text{eis}}$, and that the structural homomorphism $\kappa^{\#} : \Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}_{\rho'}^{\text{eis}}$ is an isomorphism.

4. THE THEOREM OF FERRERO-GREENBERG AND MODULARITY

We use the notations from §2.4. For any Dirichlet character $\psi \neq \omega_p^{-1}$, the Kubota-Leopoldt p -adic L -function $L_p(\psi \omega_p, s)$ is analytic in $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and characterised by the interpolation property that for all integers $k \geq 1$ such that $\omega_p^{k-1} = \mathbb{1}$ one has:

$$(38) \quad L_p(\psi \omega_p, 1 - k) = (1 - \psi(p)p^{k-1})L(\psi, 1 - k).$$

Note that $L_p(\phi \omega_p, s)$ has a trivial zero at $s = 0$, since $\phi(p) = 1$. Moreover $L_p(\phi \omega_p, s)$ is bounded, hence its inverse p -adic Mellin transform defines a measure on $1 + p^{\nu} \mathbb{Z}_p$, *i.e.* an element $\zeta_{\phi}(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]] \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p[[1 + p^{\nu} \mathbb{Z}_p]]$ such that:

$$(39) \quad \zeta_{\phi}((1 + p)^{k-1} - 1) = L_p(\phi \omega_p, 1 - k).$$

4.1. The Eisenstein ideal. In this section we study the congruences between the cuspidal family \mathcal{F} passing through f and the Eisenstein family $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{1}, \phi}$. To achieve our goal we define an appropriate quotient $\mathcal{T}_{\rho}^{\text{ord}}$ of the completed local ring \mathcal{T} of \mathcal{C} at f which has two generic points, one corresponding to \mathcal{F} and the other to $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{1}, \phi}$.

Define $\mathcal{T}_{\rho}^{\text{ord}}$ as the image of the abstract Hecke algebra $\Lambda[U_p, T_{\ell}]_{\ell p N}$ in $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \Lambda^{\text{eis}}$, where $\Lambda^{\text{eis}} = \Lambda$ is the Eisenstein Hecke algebra corresponding to $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{1}, \phi}$. By definition $\mathcal{T}_{\rho}^{\text{ord}}$ is a reduced local quotient of \mathcal{T} , and is in fact a Λ -sub-algebra of $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \Lambda^{\text{eis}}$ surjecting to each one of the factors.

Since Λ is a discrete valuation ring, Hida's congruence module yoga gives an integer $e \geq 1$, such that $\mathcal{T}_{\rho}^{\text{ord}} = \mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_p[[X]]/(X^e)} \Lambda^{\text{eis}}$. In fact, if one considers the natural projections

$$\pi_{\text{cusp}} : \mathcal{T}_{\rho}^{\text{ord}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_{\text{eis}} : \mathcal{T}_{\rho}^{\text{ord}} \rightarrow \Lambda^{\text{eis}}$$

and let $J_{\text{eis}} = \pi_{\text{cusp}}(\ker(\pi_{\text{eis}}))$ and $(X^e) = \pi_{\text{eis}}(\ker(\pi_{\text{cusp}}))$, one gets isomorphism of algebras:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}/J_{\text{eis}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda^{\text{eis}}/(X^e) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{T}_{\rho}^{\text{ord}} = \{(a, b) \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}} \times \Lambda^{\text{eis}} \mid a \pmod{J_{\text{eis}}} = b \pmod{X^e}\}.$$

Lemma 4.1. *One has $\text{Ann}(\ker(\pi_{\text{eis}})) = \ker(\pi_{\text{cusp}})$, i.e. there is a commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \ker(\pi_{\text{cusp}}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (X^e) & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \ker(\pi_{\text{eis}}) \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{T}_\rho^{\text{ord}} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\text{eis}}} & \Lambda^{\text{eis}} & \\
 \downarrow \sim & \downarrow \pi_{\text{cusp}} & & \downarrow & \\
 J_{\text{eis}} \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}} & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}/J_{\text{eis}} & \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda^{\text{eis}}/(X^e).
 \end{array}$$

Proof. The above description of $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}$ as fibred product implies that $\ker(\pi_{\text{cusp}}) \subset \text{Ann}(\ker(\pi_{\text{eis}}))$. Suppose that $(a, b) \in \text{Ann}(\ker(\pi_{\text{eis}})) \subset \mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}} \times \Lambda^{\text{eis}}$. If $a \neq 0$, then there would exist a cuspidal family \mathcal{G} such that $a \cdot \mathcal{G} \neq 0$. The Eisenstein ideal $\ker(\pi_{\text{eis}})$ would then annihilate the cuspidal family $a \cdot \mathcal{G}$, meaning that $\mathcal{T}_\rho^{\text{ord}}$ would act on both $a \cdot \mathcal{G}$ and on $\mathcal{E}_{1,\phi}$ through its quotient Λ^{eis} , which is impossible since the latter has a reducible Galois representation. \square

Proposition 4.2. *One has $e = 1$ and $\mathcal{T}_\rho^{\text{ord}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda \times_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \Lambda$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.5 one knows that $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda$. Moreover the image of U_p in Λ^{eis} is 1, whereas by the proof of Proposition 2.7 its image in $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}/(X^2) \simeq \mathcal{R}_{\text{cusp}}/(X^2)$ equals $1 - \mathcal{L}(\phi)X$ with $\mathcal{L}(\phi) \neq 0$, where X is a topological generator of $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}$ lifting the element of t_{cusp} corresponding to $\mu = 1$. \square

4.2. The full eigencurve and a duality. Let $\mathcal{C}^{\text{full}}$ be the p -adic eigencurve over \mathcal{W} of tame level N constructed using the Hecke operators T_ℓ for $\ell \nmid Np$ and U_ℓ for $\ell \mid Np$. Using the relations between abstract Hecke operators and the fact that the diamond operators at all $\ell \nmid Np$ belong to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{rig}}(\mathcal{W})$, one sees that $T_n \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{full}}}^{\text{rig}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{full}})$ for all $n \geq 1$.

It is endowed with a locally finite surjective morphism $\mathcal{C}^{\text{full}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ yielding by composition with (30) a 2-dimensional pseudo-character $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{full}}}^{\text{rig}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{full}})$. There is a natural bijection between $\mathcal{C}^{\text{full}}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ and the set of systems of eigenvalues of overconvergent eigenforms with finite slope, tame level dividing N and weight in $\mathcal{W}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$, which sends g to the system of eigenvalues $\{(T_\ell(g))_{\ell \nmid Np}, (U_\ell(g))_{\ell \mid Np}\}$. Let $\mathcal{T}^{\text{full}}$ be the completed local ring of $\mathcal{C}^{\text{full}}$ at f .

Let $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{full}}$ be the closed analytic subspace of $\mathcal{C}^{\text{full}}$ corresponding to cuspidal overconvergent modular forms and let $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{full}}$ be the completed local ring of $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{full}}$ at f .

Remark 4.3. By construction of the eigencurve, the ordinary locus of $\mathcal{C}^{\text{full}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{full}}$) is isomorphic to the rigid analytic space attached to the maximal spectrum of the generic fibre of the p -ordinary Hecke algebra (resp. p -ordinary cuspidal Hecke algebra) of tame level N constructed by Hida [21].

The natural morphism $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{full}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}$ yields an injective homomorphism $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{full}}$ and by Proposition 3.4 there exists a continuous representation

$$\rho_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{full}}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{full}}),$$

such that $\text{tr}(\rho_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{full}}})(\text{Frob}_\ell) = T_\ell$ for all $\ell \nmid Np$. Moreover $\rho_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{full}}}$ is ordinary at p and its reduction modulo $\mathfrak{m}_f^{\text{full}}$ is isomorphic to ρ . Denote by \mathcal{M} be the free $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{full}}$ -module of rank 2 on which $\rho_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{full}}}$ acts.

Proposition 4.4. *Let ℓ be a prime dividing N .*

- (i) *Then $\rho_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{full}}}(I_\ell)$ is finite and \mathcal{M}^{I_ℓ} is a free rank 1 direct summand of \mathcal{M} on which $\rho_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{full}}}(\text{Frob}_\ell)$ acts by U_ℓ .*
- (ii) *Moreover the natural injection $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{full}}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. (i) The image of $\rho_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{full}}}(I_\ell)$ is infinite if and only if the Hida family passing through f is Steinberg at ℓ , and it is equivalent to the fact that the monodromy operator of the Weil-Deligne representation attached to $(\rho_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{full}}})|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}}$ is of rank 1 (see [6, Prop.7.8.14]). Moreover, if \mathcal{Z} is the irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}$ passing through f , [6, Prop.7.8.17] implies that the restriction of the pseudo-character $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{Z})$ to the inertia subgroup I_ℓ is constant and equal to $(\phi + \mathbb{1})|_{I_\ell}$. On the other hand, any Steinberg representation is isomorphic to a twist of an extension of $\mathbb{1}$ by the cyclotomic character $\chi_p : G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$. Since $\phi|_{I_\ell} \neq \mathbb{1} = \chi_p|_{I_\ell}$ it follows that $(\rho_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{full}}})|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}}$ cannot be Steinberg and thus the monodromy operator is trivial. Then [6, Prop.7.8.14] yields that $\rho_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{full}}}(I_\ell)$ is finite.

Let \mathcal{V} be an open admissible affinoid neighbourhood of $x \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{full}}$ and $L = \prod_i L_i$ be the total ring of fractions of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V})$. The pseudo-character $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V})$ defined by composing (30) with the composite of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{rig}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{full}}}^{\text{rig}}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{full}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow L_i$ gives rise to a unique odd Galois representation $\rho_{L_i} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(L_i)$ satisfying $\text{tr}(\rho_{L_i})(\text{Frob}_\ell) = T_\ell$ for $\ell \nmid Np$. Let $\rho_L = (\rho_{L_i})_i : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(L)$. Let $(e_{1,L}, e_{2,L})$ be a basis of L^2 in which $\rho_L(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and write $\rho_L(g) = \begin{pmatrix} a(g) & b(g) \\ c(g) & d(g) \end{pmatrix}$ in that basis. Denote by B (resp. C) the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}}$ -submodules of L generated by the coefficients $b(g)$ (resp. $c(g)$) as g runs over the elements of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Since the Tate algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V})$ is a noetherian ring, an argument similar to the one used in [5, Lemma 4] implies that B and C of finite type over $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V})$.

Let \mathfrak{m}_f be the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V})$ at f . By following the arguments of [5, Lemma 3] we obtain an injection $\text{Hom}(B_{\mathfrak{m}_f}/\mathfrak{m}_f B_{\mathfrak{m}_f}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \hookrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \phi)$, where $B_{\mathfrak{m}_f}$ is the localisation of B at \mathfrak{m}_f , hence $B_{\mathfrak{m}_f}$ is a monogenic $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V})_{\mathfrak{m}_f}$ -module by Nakayama's lemma. On the other hand, since ρ_{L_i} is absolutely irreducible (the classical cuspforms being very Zariski dense in $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{full}}$), one deduces that $B_{\mathfrak{m}_f}$ is a monogenic torsion free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathfrak{m}_f}$ -module, hence is a free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathfrak{m}_f}$ -module of rank 1. A standard noetherian type argument then shows that after possibly shrinking \mathcal{V} , there exists a representation $\rho_{\mathcal{V}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V}))$ yielding ρ_L by scalar extension. One can then conclude as in [3, Prop.7.1], noticing that in our situation $(\rho_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{full}}})|_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}} = \phi \oplus \mathbb{1}$.

(ii) It is sufficient to prove that for ℓ dividing N the Hecke operator U_ℓ belongs to the image of $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}$, which is a consequence of (i) using Nakayama lemma's. \square

Let $S_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^\dagger$ (resp. $M_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^\dagger$) be the $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}$ -module (resp. \mathcal{T} -module) obtained by localising and completing $S_{\mathcal{U}}^{\dagger,0}$ at the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_f of the abstract Hecke algebra $\Lambda[U_p, T_\ell]_{\ell pN}$ corresponding to the system of Hecke eigenvalues of f . Since $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{full}}$ is étale over \mathcal{W} at f , there exists a unique, up to Galois conjugacy, normalised cuspidal eigenfamily \mathcal{F} specialising to f , which can be seen as an element of $S_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^\dagger$.

Corollary 4.5. *The module $S_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^\dagger$ is free with basis \mathcal{F} over Λ , and the natural Λ -bilinear pairing:*

$$\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}} \times S_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^\dagger \rightarrow \Lambda, (T, \mathcal{G}) \mapsto a_1(T \cdot \mathcal{G})$$

is a perfect duality. In particular, the generalized cuspidal eigenspace $S^\dagger[[f]]$ equals $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p f$.

Proof. Assume that $a_1(T \cdot \mathcal{G}) = 0$ for all $T \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}$. It follows from Proposition 4.4 that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ one has $T_n \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{full}} \simeq \mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}$ hence $a_n(\mathcal{G}) = a_1(T_n \cdot \mathcal{G}) = 0$, leading to $\mathcal{G} = 0$ by the q -expansion principle. Hence $S_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^\dagger \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}, \Lambda)$. Moreover since $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}} \simeq \Lambda$ by Theorem 3.5, one has $a_1(T \cdot \mathcal{F}) = T a_1(\mathcal{F}) = T$ for all $T \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}$ implying the perfectness of the pairing. By definition $S^\dagger[[f]]$ is isomorphic to the $\mathcal{T}/\mathfrak{m}_\Lambda \mathcal{T}$ -module $S_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^\dagger/\mathfrak{m}_\Lambda S_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^\dagger$, hence is 1-dimensional and spanned by f . \square

Remark 4.6. Note that $S^\dagger[[f]]$ is spanned by f which is classical and cuspidal-overconvergent, even though it is not cuspidal as a classical form. This result was first conjectured in [13, Hypothesis (C')] for the following arithmetic application. Let E be an elliptic curve and g be another classical weight 1 form. When the analytic rank of E over the splitting field of $\rho_f \otimes \rho_g$ is 2, the elliptic Stark conjecture of [13] relates the values of some p -adic integrals to formal group logarithms of global points of E . The classicality of the generalised cuspidal eigenspace attached to f plays a crucial role in the formulation of the conjecture, as the definition of the p -adic iterated integrals relies on that assumption. The scenario in which f and g are both irregular Eisenstein series is particularly appealing since the splitting field is then cyclotomic. Numerical evidence towards this conjecture are given in §7 of *loc. cit.* and recently Rotger-Casazza [9] established a result in that direction, under the hypotheses (C) and (C') from *loc. cit.* which can now be waived thanks to Corollary 4.5.

4.3. On the constant term of Eisenstein families. The aim of this sections is to show that the Kubota-Leopoldt p -adic L -function $L_p(\phi\omega_p, s)$ seen as an element $\zeta_\phi(X) \in \Lambda$ vanishes at order 1 at $X = 0$. We already know that $\zeta_\phi(0) = 0$ because of the trivial zero, so it suffices to prove that the order of vanishing is at most 1. To achieve this we first relate $\zeta_\phi(X)$ to the constant term of the Eisenstein family $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{1},\phi}$.

Let \mathcal{U} be an open admissible affinoid of \mathcal{W} containing the weight $\kappa(f)$ of f . There exists a direct factor C_A of $\prod_{\delta \in D} \Lambda$ and an exact sequence of flat Λ -modules:

$$(40) \quad 0 \rightarrow S_A^{\dagger,0} \rightarrow M_A^{\dagger,0} \xrightarrow{\text{res}_A} C_A \rightarrow 0.$$

obtained by localising and completing (34) at the maximal ideal corresponding to $\kappa(f) \in \mathcal{U}$.

Proposition 4.7. *Let $A_\delta(\mathbb{1}, \phi)$ and $A_\delta(\phi, \mathbb{1})$ be the constant terms of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{1}, \phi}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\phi, \mathbb{1}}$ at $\delta \in D$.*

- (i) *One has $A_\infty(\mathbb{1}, \phi) = \frac{1}{2}\zeta_\phi$, $A_0(\mathbb{1}, \phi) = 0$ and $A_\delta(\mathbb{1}, \phi) \in \Lambda \cdot \zeta_\phi$ for all $\delta \in D$.*
- (ii) *One has $A_\infty(\phi, \mathbb{1}) = 0$, $A_0(\phi, \mathbb{1}) \in \Lambda^\times \zeta_{\phi^{-1}}$ and $A_\delta(\mathbb{1}, \phi) \in \Lambda \cdot \zeta_{\phi^{-1}}$ for all $\delta \in D$.*

Proof. (i) We will establish the lemma via a computation of the constant term of the specialisations of the Eisenstein families $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{1}, \phi}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\phi, \mathbb{1}}$ at all classical weights $k \geq 2$ such that $\omega_p^{k-1} = \mathbb{1}$. Denote by D_Γ the cuspidal divisor of X_Γ and let D_Γ^\times be the image of D under the canonical section (31). We have bijections

$$D = \Gamma_1(N) \backslash \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}), \quad D_\Gamma = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}) \quad \text{and} \quad D_\Gamma^\times = \Gamma \backslash (\Gamma_0(p)\infty).$$

In particular, via this identification, an element of $\delta \in D_\Gamma^\times$ corresponds to an element $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix}$ where a, c are coprime integers and $p|c$. Denote $\iota_p = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. For every $g \in M_k(\Gamma_1(N), \phi)$, we define $g^{(p)}(z) = (g - g|_k \iota_p)(z) = g(z) - p^{k-1}g(pz) \in M_k(\Gamma, \phi)$. We wish to compute the q -expansion of $g^{(p)}$ at all cusps in D_Γ^\times in terms of q -expansion of g at cusps in D . For $\delta = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix} \in D_\Gamma^\times$, choose a matrix $\gamma_\delta = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. The q -expansion of $g^{(p)}$ at the cusp δ is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} (g|_k^{(p)} \gamma_\delta)(z) &= g|_k \gamma_\delta(z) - g|_k (\iota_p \gamma_\delta)(z) = g|_k \gamma_\delta(z) - g|_k (\gamma_{p\delta} \iota_p)(z) \\ &= g|_k \gamma_\delta(z) - p^{k-1} g|_k \gamma_{p\delta}(pz) \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_{p\delta} = \begin{pmatrix} a & bp \\ cp^{-1} & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Letting A_δ (resp. $A_\delta^{(p)}$) denote the constant term of the q -expansion of g (resp. $g^{(p)}$) at the cusp $\delta \in D$ (resp. $\delta \in D_\Gamma^\times$) we have $A_\delta^{(p)} = A_\delta - p^{k-1}A_{p\delta}$.

Let g be the weight k Eisenstein series $E_k(\mathbb{1}, \phi) \in M_k(\Gamma_1(N), \phi)$. Then $g^{(p)}$ in the above notation is the ordinary p -stabilisation of g , and it is the weight k specialisation of the Eisenstein family $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{1}, \phi}$. The constant term of the q -expansion of g vanishes at all cusps outside $\Gamma_1(N) \backslash \Gamma_0(N)\infty$. More precisely, by [33, Prop.1.1], we have $\delta = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix}$ we have

$$A_\delta = 0, \text{ if } N \nmid c, \quad A_\delta = \frac{\phi^{-1}(|a|)}{2} L(\phi, 1-k), \text{ if } N | c.$$

Thus, if $N \nmid c$ then $A_\delta^{(p)} = 0$, whereas if $N | c$ then using (38) and $\phi(p) = 1$ one finds

$$A_\delta^{(p)} = A_\delta - p^{k-1}A_{p\delta} = (1 - p^{k-1}) \frac{\phi^{-1}(|a|)}{2} L(\phi, 1-k) = \frac{\phi^{-1}(|a|)}{2} L_p(\phi\omega_p, 1-k).$$

The points of weight k satisfying the assumption above are very Zariski dense in the connected component of \mathcal{W} containing $\kappa(f)$. Therefore, the constant term of the q -expansion of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{1}, \phi}$ at the cusp $\delta \in D_\Gamma^\times$ vanishes if $N \nmid c$ and is equal to $\frac{\phi^{-1}(|a|)}{2} \zeta_\phi(X)$ otherwise. In particular, the constant term of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{1}, \phi}$ at ∞ is $\frac{1}{2} \zeta_\phi(X)$ and vanishes at the cusp 0.

- (ii) Let g be the weight k Eisenstein series $E_k(\phi, \mathbb{1}) \in M_k(\Gamma_1(N), \phi)$. By [33, Prop.1.1]

$$A_\delta = 0 \text{ if } (N, c) > 1, \quad A_\delta = -\frac{\tau(\phi)}{2N^k} \phi(|c|) L(\phi^{-1}, 1-k) \text{ if } (N, c) = 1$$

where $\tau(\phi)$ denotes the Gauss sum of ϕ . Thus, if $(c, N) > 1$ then $A_\delta^{(p)}$ vanishes, whereas if $(c, N) = 1$ then one finds

$$A_\delta^{(p)} = A_\delta - p^{k-1}A_{p\delta} = -\frac{\tau(\phi)}{2N^k}\phi(|c|)(1-p^{k-1})L(\phi^{-1}, 1-k) = -\frac{\tau(\phi)}{2N^k}\phi(|c|)L_p(\phi^{-1}\omega_p, 1-k).$$

The form $g^{(p)}$ is the weight k ordinary specialisation of $\mathcal{E}_{\phi, \mathbb{1}}$. Since $(p, N) = 1$ and $\omega_p^{k-1} = \mathbb{1}$, N^k is the weight k specialisation of an element in $\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]^\times$, while $L_p(\phi^{-1}\omega_p, 1-k)$ is the weight k specialisation of $\zeta_{\phi^{-1}}(X)$. Thus, the constant term of $\mathcal{E}_{\phi, \mathbb{1}}$ vanishes at ∞ and is a multiple of $\zeta_{\phi^{-1}}(X)$ by a unit of Λ at $\Gamma \begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix} \in D_\Gamma^\times$ if $(c, N) = 1$. In particular, let u, v be integers satisfying $pv + uN = 1$. Then $\Gamma \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ pv \end{bmatrix} \in D_\Gamma^\times$ and $\Gamma_1(N) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ pv \end{bmatrix} = \Gamma_1(N) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, so the constant term of the q -expansion at 0 is non-zero. \square

We state a generalisation of a result of Mazur-Wiles and Ohta, in the case of a trivial zero.

Proposition 4.8. *There exists an isomorphism of Λ -algebras $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}/J_{\text{eis}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda/(\zeta_\phi(X))$.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 4.7 and the exact sequence (40) that $(\zeta_\phi(X))$ is the smallest ideal for which $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{1}, \phi} \bmod (\zeta_\phi(X))$ is a cuspform. Using Proposition 4.5 and the freeness of \mathcal{T} over Λ (but without invoking the etaleness of $\mathcal{T}^{\text{cusp}}$ over Λ) we deduce that $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{1}, \phi}$ belongs to

$$S_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^\dagger \otimes_\Lambda (\Lambda/(\zeta_\phi(X))) = \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}, \Lambda/(\zeta_\phi(X))).$$

The claim then follows from the commutative diagram in Lemma 4.1. \square

We close this section by giving an alternative proof of the famous result of Ferrero-Greenberg.

Proposition 4.9. *The Kubota-Leopoldt p -adic L -function $\zeta_\phi(X)$ has a simple zero at $s = 0$.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{C}_Λ is a direct factor of $\prod_{\delta \in D} \Lambda$ by (40), Proposition 4.7(i) implies that

$$\text{res}_\Lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{1}, \phi}) \in \zeta_\phi(X)\mathcal{C}_\Lambda.$$

The exact sequence (40) then gives that

$$(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{1}, \phi} \bmod \zeta_\phi(X)) \in S_\Lambda^{\dagger, 0}/\zeta_\phi(X) \cdot S_\Lambda^{\dagger, 0} \text{ and in fact } \in S_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^\dagger/\zeta_\phi(X) \cdot S_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^\dagger$$

By Corollary 4.5, $S_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^\dagger$ is a free Λ -module with basis \mathcal{F} , hence $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{1}, \phi}$ and \mathcal{F} are congruent modulo $(\zeta_\phi(X))$. Recall that U_p acts on $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{1}, \phi}$ by 1, whereas by the proof of Proposition 2.7, $U_p \bmod X^2 \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}/(X^2) = \Lambda/(X^2)$ acts on $\mathcal{F} \bmod X^2$ by $1 - \mu\mathcal{L}(\phi)(\text{Frob}_p)X$, with $\mu\mathcal{L}(\phi) \neq 0$. Hence $\zeta_\phi(X) \mid X$ as claimed. \square

4.4. An application of Wiles' numerical criterion. The goal of this subsection is to prove that there exists an isomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{ord}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}_\rho^{\text{ord}}$ of local complete intersections over Λ . Lemma 1.6 and Proposition 3.4 yield the following homomorphism of Λ -algebras:

$$(41) \quad \varphi : \mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{ord}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\text{cusp}} \times_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{eis}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}} \times_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \Lambda = \mathcal{T}_\rho^{\text{ord}}.$$

which is surjective by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.5. To prove its injectivity we appeal to a variant of Wiles' numerical criterion due to Lenstra [25].

Theorem 4.10. *Let $\varphi : R \rightarrow T$ be a surjective homomorphism of local Λ -algebras. Suppose that T is finite and flat as Λ -module and let $\pi : T \rightarrow \Lambda$ be a Λ -algebra homomorphism. Let $J = \ker(\pi \circ \varphi)$ and assume that $\eta_T = \pi(\text{Ann}(\ker \pi)) \neq 0$. Then*

$$\text{length}_\Lambda(J/J^2) \geq \text{length}_\Lambda(\Lambda/\eta_T)$$

and the equality holds if and only if φ is an isomorphism of complete intersections over Λ .

We wish to apply the above criterion to (41) and $\pi_{\text{eis}} : \mathcal{T}_\rho^{\text{ord}} \rightarrow \Lambda$. By Proposition 4.2

$$\eta_T = \pi_{\text{eis}}(\text{Ann}(\ker(\pi_{\text{eis}}))) = \pi_{\text{eis}}(\ker(\pi_{\text{cusp}})) = (X^e) = (X).$$

hence the Λ -module Λ/η_T has length 1. In order to apply the numerical criterion, we must compute the length of J/J^2 over Λ , where $J = \ker(\pi_{\text{eis}} \circ \varphi)$.

Proposition 4.11. *The Λ -module J/J^2 is torsion of length ≤ 1 .*

Proof. Note first that because $\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{ord}}$ is noetherian, J/J^2 is a module of finite type over $\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{ord}}/J \simeq \Lambda$. The claim is equivalent to showing that for all $n \geq 1$ one has:

$$(42) \quad \text{length}_\Lambda(\text{Hom}(J/J^2, \Lambda/(X^n))) \leq 1.$$

To show this we will employ a Galois cohomology argument. Let us fix a realisation $g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a(g) & b(g) \\ c(g) & d(g) \end{pmatrix}$ of the universal representation $\rho_{\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{ord}}}$ in an ordinary basis. By Lemmas 1.6 and 3.6 the ideal J of $\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{ord}}$ is generated by the set of $c(g)$ for $g \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Since

$$\rho_{\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{ord}}} \otimes \mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{ord}}/J \simeq \rho_\Lambda \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \Phi & * \\ 0 & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

in the ordinary basis, a direct computation shows that the function

$$(43) \quad \bar{c} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow J/J^2, \quad g \mapsto \Phi^{-1}(g)c(g) \pmod{J^2}$$

belongs to $\ker \left(Z^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \Phi^{-1} \otimes_\Lambda (J/J^2)) \rightarrow Z^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, \Phi^{-1} \otimes_\Lambda (J/J^2)) \right)$. Since the image of \bar{c} contains a set of generators of J/J^2 as $\mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{ord}}/J \simeq \Lambda$ -module, the natural map $g \mapsto g \circ \bar{c}$

$$\text{Hom}_\Lambda(J/J^2, \Lambda/(X^n)) \hookrightarrow \ker \left(Z^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \Phi_n^{-1}) \rightarrow Z^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, \Phi_n^{-1}) \right)$$

is injective for all $n \geq 1$. Moreover by Proposition 2.9(ii) one has:

$$\ker \left(Z^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \Phi_n^{-1}) \rightarrow Z^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, \Phi_n^{-1}) \right) = \ker \left(B^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \Phi_n^{-1}) \rightarrow B^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, \Phi_n^{-1}) \right)$$

Since $\Phi_1|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} = \phi|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} = \mathbb{1}$, while $\Phi_2|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \neq \mathbb{1}$, the latter is given by the length 1 Λ -module $(X^{n-1})/(X^n) \simeq \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. This proves (42), hence the proposition. \square

Theorem 4.12. $\varphi : \mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{ord}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_\rho^{\text{ord}}$ is an isomorphism of complete local intersections over Λ .

Proof. The claim is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.10 in view of Proposition 4.11. \square

5. LOCAL STRUCTURE OF THE EIGENCURVE AT f

In this section we will first complete the proof of Theorem A, then we will prove Theorem D using the methods of [14] and [15].

We recall that we have a cuspidal family \mathcal{F} as well as two Eisenstein families $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{1},\phi}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\phi,\mathbb{1}}$ passing through f . We also recall that $\Lambda = \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[[X]]$ denotes the universal deformation ring of $\phi = \det(\rho)$.

5.1. Failure of Gorensteinness of \mathcal{C} at f . By Theorem 3.5 and Lemma 3.6 the structural homomorphisms $\kappa^\# : \Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}$, $\kappa^\# : \Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}_\rho^{\text{eis}}$ and $\kappa^\# : \Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}_{\rho'}^{\text{eis}}$ are isomorphisms. Since the eigencurve \mathcal{C} is reduced, it results from the above discussion a canonical inclusion of local Λ -algebras:

$$(44) \quad \pi = (\pi_{\text{cusp}}, \pi_\rho^{\text{eis}}, \pi_{\rho'}^{\text{eis}}) : \mathcal{T} \hookrightarrow \Lambda \times_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \Lambda \times_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \Lambda,$$

where \mathcal{T} denotes the completed local ring of \mathcal{C} at f .

Consider the sub-algebra \mathcal{T}' of \mathcal{T} generated over Λ by $T_\ell, \ell \nmid Np$.

Theorem 5.1. *The image of \mathcal{T}' under the natural inclusion (44) is given by*

$$(45) \quad \left\{ (a, b, c) \in \Lambda \times_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \Lambda \times_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \Lambda \mid (\mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1}) + \mathcal{L}(\phi)) a'(0) = \mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1}) b'(0) + \mathcal{L}(\phi) c'(0) \right\}.$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}'[U_p]/(U_p - 1)^2$ and (44) an isomorphism $\mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda \times_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \Lambda \times_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \Lambda$.

Proof. We first show that $\pi(\mathcal{T}') \supset (X^2 \cdot \Lambda)^3$, by showing that $\pi(\mathcal{T}')$ surjects on each of the 3 double products $\Lambda \times_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \Lambda$ in (44) and by observing that $(0, b, X) \cdot (a, 0, X) = (0, 0, X^2)$, etc. The surjectivity of the homomorphism $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ps}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_\rho^{\text{ord}}$ established in the course of the proof of Lemma 1.8, implies the surjectivity of the composed map $\mathcal{T}' \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_\rho^{\text{ord}}$, i.e. $(\pi_{\text{cusp}}, \pi_\rho^{\text{eis}})$ is surjective, and similarly $(\pi_{\text{cusp}}, \pi_{\rho'}^{\text{eis}})$ is surjective as well. As for the surjectivity of $(\pi_\rho^{\text{eis}}, \pi_{\rho'}^{\text{eis}})$ it suffices to show that the image of

$$\text{tr}(\rho_{\text{eis}}) - \text{tr}(\rho'_{\text{eis}}) = (\phi - \mathbb{1}) \cdot (\chi_{\text{cyc}} - \mathbb{1}) : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \Lambda,$$

contains an element of valuation 1, where ρ_{eis} is the Galois deformation of ρ attached to $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{1},\phi}$ (see (36)), whereas ρ'_{eis} is the Galois deformation of ρ' attached to $\mathcal{E}_{\phi,\mathbb{1}}$. This follows easily from the fact that the abelian extensions H and \mathbb{Q}_∞ of \mathbb{Q} (which are the fixed fields of $\ker(\chi_{\text{cyc}})$ and $\ker(\phi)$, respectively) are linearly disjoint for ramification reasons. Hence $\pi(\mathcal{T}') \supset (X^2 \cdot \Lambda)^3$.

It follows that $\pi(\mathcal{T}')$ and $\pi(\mathcal{T})$ are uniquely determined by their images in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[\epsilon]^3 = (\Lambda/(X^2))^3$, which we will now determine using the tangent space computations from §2.

By the Chebotarev density theorem \mathcal{T}' is generated over Λ by the trace of $\rho_{\mathcal{T}} = (\rho_{\text{cusp}}, \rho_{\text{eis}}, \rho'_{\text{eis}})$, hence the image of $\pi(\mathcal{T}')$ in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[\epsilon]^3$ is generated by 1 and the image of the map $\xi : \mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^3$ uniquely determined by

$$(\text{tr}(\rho_{\mathcal{T},\epsilon}) - \text{tr}(\rho)) = (\det(\rho_{\mathcal{T},\epsilon}) - \det(\rho)) \cdot \xi.$$

Using formulas (20) and (23) for $\rho_{\text{cusp},\epsilon}$, together with the formula (36) for ρ_{eis} yields:

$$(46) \quad \xi = \left(\frac{\mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1})}{\mathcal{L}(\phi) + \mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1})} \mathbb{1} + \frac{\mathcal{L}(\phi)}{\mathcal{L}(\phi) + \mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1})} \phi^{-1}, \mathbb{1}, \phi^{-1} \right),$$

which completes the proof of (45).

Since $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}'[U_p]$ and since by (21) the image of $U_p - 1$ in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[\epsilon]^3$ belongs to $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times(\epsilon, 0, 0)$, we deduce that $\mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}'[U_p]/(U_p - 1)^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda \times_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \Lambda \times_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \Lambda$ as claimed. \square

Remark 5.2. When ϕ is quadratic, formula (46) has a particularly simple shape, and \mathcal{F} admits a familiar description as a CM Hida family interpolating theta series, which has the following important arithmetic bearing. Given an elliptic curve E such that $L(E, \phi, 1) = 0$, Bertolini and Darmon announced the proof of a conjecture of Perrin-Riou relating the position of the Kato class $K_E(\phi, \mathbb{1})$ in the ϕ -isotypic component of the Mordell-Weil group of E to a global point. Their work exploits the connection between the class $K_E(\phi, \mathbb{1})$ and the generalised Kato class attached to ϕ seen as a genus character of its fixed imaginary quadratic field. The precise relation is a Siegel-Weil formula for generalised Kato classes mimicking the first order Λ -adic Siegel-Weil formula relating $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{1},\phi}$, $\mathcal{E}_{\phi,\mathbb{1}}$ and \mathcal{F} in (46) which for ϕ quadratic is simply given by $2a_\ell(\mathcal{F}) \equiv a_\ell(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{1},\phi}) + a_\ell(\mathcal{E}_{\phi,\mathbb{1}}) \pmod{X^2}$. It is conceivable that similar techniques exploiting the existence of a unique cuspidal family specialising to a weight 1 Eisenstein series could yield some insight beyond the quadratic case. Using an algorithm based on methods of [23], A. Lauder identified the linear relation (46) for ϕ an odd sextic character of conductor 21, and $p = 13$ for which $\phi(13) = 1$, which were used in [13, §7.2] to provide a numerical evidence for elliptic Stark points over cyclotomic fields.

Corollary 5.3. (i) *The ring \mathcal{T} is Cohen-Macaulay, but not Gorenstein.*

(ii) *The local ring at f of the fibre $\kappa^{-1}(\kappa(f))$ has dimension 3 over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$.*

(iii) *The ring $\mathcal{T}' \simeq \Lambda[Y]/(Y(Y + \mathcal{L}(\phi)X)(Y - \mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1})X))$ is a relative complete intersection over Λ .*

Proof. (i) The the depth of \mathcal{T} cannot exceed its Krull dimension which is 1. On the other hand, since $(X, X, X) \in \mathcal{T}$ is a regular element, the depth of \mathcal{T} has to be 1, hence the local ring \mathcal{T} is Cohen-Macaulay. Next we show that \mathcal{T} is not Gorenstein for which, according to [18, Cor.21.20], it suffices to show that \mathcal{T} is not of complete intersection. Note that

$$\mathcal{T} \simeq \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[[X_1, X_2, X_3]]/(X_1X_2, X_1X_3, X_2X_3)$$

where $X_1 = (X, 0, 0)$, $X_2 = (0, X, 0)$, $X_3 = (0, 0, X)$. Since $(X_1X_2, X_1X_3, X_2X_3) \subset (X_1, X_2, X_3)^2$ the dimension of the first homology group $H_1(\mathcal{T})$ of the Koszul complex with respect to the minimal set of generators $\{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$ of $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{T}}/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{T}}^2$ equals 3 (see [7, Thm.2.3.2]). On the other hand the embedding codimension of \mathcal{T} equals $\dim_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p}(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{T}}/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{T}}^2) - \dim(\mathcal{T}) = 2 < 3$, therefore \mathcal{T} is not of complete intersection.

(ii) Since \mathcal{T} is finite flat over Λ , \mathcal{T} is necessarily a free Λ -module of rank 3 (since its generic rank is 3). Reducing modulo \mathfrak{m}_{Λ} yields that $\mathcal{T}/\mathfrak{m}_{\Lambda}\mathcal{T}$ is $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ -vector space of dimension 3.

(iii) It follows from (45) that \mathcal{T}' is equidimensional of dimension 1 and that the tangent space of \mathcal{T}' has dimension 2, and is generated by $X = (X, X, X)$ and $Y = (0, -\mathcal{L}(\phi)X, \mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1})X)$. Hence \mathcal{T}' is a quotient of the factorial ring $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[[X, Y]]$ by an element, which one might take to be $Y(Y + \mathcal{L}(\phi)X)(Y - \mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1})X)$, hence it is a relative complete intersection over Λ . \square

5.2. Duality for non-cuspidal Hida families. In Corollary 4.5 we showed that $S_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^{\dagger} = \Lambda \cdot \mathcal{F}$. We will now exhibit a basis of the free rank 3 Λ -module $M_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^{\dagger}$ (see Corollary 5.3(ii)).

Proposition 5.4. (i) *The localisation of \mathcal{C}_{Λ} at \mathfrak{m}_f is free of rank 2 over Λ .*

(ii) *The elements $E_{1,\phi} = (\mathcal{E}_{1,\phi} - \mathcal{F})/X$ and $E_{\phi,1} = (\mathcal{E}_{\phi,1} - \mathcal{F})/X$ are in $M_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^{\dagger}$ and generate a complement of $S_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^{\dagger}$, i.e. $M_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^{\dagger} = \Lambda \cdot \mathcal{F} \oplus \Lambda \cdot E_{1,\phi} \oplus \Lambda \cdot E_{\phi,1}$.*

Proof. (i) The freeness follows from (40). The rank is given by the number of Eisenstein families specialising to f , which can easily be determined after extending scalars to the fraction field of Λ by using the constant term computations from Proposition 4.7.

(ii) Since all three families \mathcal{F} , $\mathcal{E}_{1,\phi}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\phi,1}$ have coefficients in Λ and specialise to f in weight $\kappa(f)$, it follows that both $E_{1,\phi}$ and $E_{\phi,1}$ belong to $M_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^{\dagger}$. By (40) it suffices to show that $\text{res}_{\Lambda}(E_{1,\phi})$ and $\text{res}_{\Lambda}(E_{\phi,1})$ form a basis of the localisation of \mathcal{C}_{Λ} at \mathfrak{m}_f . According to Proposition 4.7 and Proposition 4.9, the constant term of $E_{1,\phi}$ at the cusp ∞ belongs to Λ^{\times} and vanishes at 0, while $E_{\phi,1}$ vanishes at ∞ , but belongs to Λ^{\times} at 0. \square

Proposition 5.5. *One has $\mathcal{T}^{\text{full}} \simeq \mathcal{T}$ and the natural Λ -bilinear pairing:*

$$\mathcal{T} \times M_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^{\dagger} \rightarrow \Lambda, \quad (T, \mathcal{G}) \mapsto a_1(T \cdot \mathcal{G})$$

is a perfect duality. Its restriction to $\mathcal{T}_{\rho}^{\text{ord}} \times (\Lambda \cdot \mathcal{F} \oplus \Lambda \cdot E_{1,\phi})$ is a perfect duality as well.

Proof. One has $\mathcal{T}^{\text{full}} \simeq \mathcal{T}$ as $\mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{full}} \simeq \mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}}$ by Proposition 4.4 and for all $\ell \mid N$, one has $U_{\ell}(\mathcal{E}_{1,\phi}) = 1$ and $U_{\ell}(\mathcal{E}_{\phi,1}) = \chi_{\text{cyc}}(\text{Frob}_{\ell}) \in 1 + X\Lambda$ (see (25)). The non-degeneracy of the pairing follows from the q -expansion principle as in the proof of Corollary 4.5. The isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{T} \simeq \mathcal{T}_{\text{cusp}} \times \mathcal{T}_{\rho}^{\text{eis}} \times \mathcal{T}_{\rho'}^{\text{eis}} \simeq \Lambda \times_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \Lambda \times_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \Lambda,$$

established in Theorem 5.1, implies that the matrix of the pairing in the bases $(\mathcal{F}, E_{1,\phi}, E_{\phi,1})$ and $((1, 1, 1), (0, X, 0), (0, X, 0))$ is the identity, hence the pairing is a perfect duality. \square

Remark 5.6. (i) Since \mathcal{T} is finite flat over the regular ring Λ and \mathcal{T} is not Gorenstein, it follows that $\mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(\mathcal{T}, \Lambda) \simeq M_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^\dagger$ is not isomorphic to \mathcal{T} as a \mathcal{T} -module.

(ii) Since $\mathcal{T}_\rho^{\mathrm{ord}}$ is finite flat over the regular ring Λ and $\mathcal{T}_\rho^{\mathrm{ord}}$ is Gorenstein, $\mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(\mathcal{T}_\rho^{\mathrm{ord}}, \Lambda) \simeq M_{\mathfrak{m}_f, \rho}^\dagger$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{T}_\rho^{\mathrm{ord}}$ as $\mathcal{T}_\rho^{\mathrm{ord}}$ -module.

5.3. Non-classical overconvergent weight 1 modular forms. Recall that $\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}} : \mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \Lambda^\times$ from §2.4 is the universal cyclotomic character, where $\Lambda = \mathbb{Q}_p[[X]]$ is isomorphic to the completed local ring of the weight space \mathcal{W} at $\kappa(f) = (\phi, 1)$ via (24).

Since Galois orbits of cuspidal Hida families correspond to irreducible components of the ordinary locus of the full cuspidal eigencurve $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{cusp}}^{\mathrm{full}}$ (see [16]), Theorem 3.5, combined with Proposition 4.4(ii), shows that there exists a unique, up to Galois conjugacy, cuspidal Hida family $\mathcal{F} = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n(\mathcal{F})q^n \in \Lambda[[q]]$ specialising to f .

Proposition 5.7. *One has*

$$(47) \quad \frac{d}{dX} \Big|_{X=0} a_p(\mathcal{F}) = -\frac{\mathcal{L}(\phi)\mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1})}{(\mathcal{L}(\phi) + \mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1})) \log_p(1 + p^\nu)}, \text{ and}$$

$$(48) \quad \frac{d}{dX} \Big|_{X=0} a_\ell(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{(\phi(\ell)\mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1}) + \mathcal{L}(\phi)) \log_p(\ell)}{(\mathcal{L}(\phi) + \mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1})) \log_p(1 + p^\nu)}, \text{ for every prime } \ell \neq p.$$

Proof. Let ℓ be a prime, $\ell \nmid N$. Reading the first component of (46) yields:

$$\frac{d}{dX} \Big|_{X=0} a_\ell(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{d}{dX} \Big|_{X=0} \mathrm{tr}(\rho_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{cusp}}})(\mathrm{Frob}_\ell) = \frac{\mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1}) + \mathcal{L}(\phi)\phi^{-1}(\ell)}{\mathcal{L}(\phi) + \mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1})} \frac{d}{dX} \Big|_{X=0} \det(\rho_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{cusp}}})(\mathrm{Frob}_\ell)$$

Since $\det(\rho_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{cusp}}}) = \phi\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}$ and $\frac{d}{dX} \Big|_{X=0} \chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}(\mathrm{Frob}_\ell) = \frac{\log_p(\ell)}{\log_p(1 + p^\nu)}$ by (26), one gets (48) for $\ell \nmid N$.

In order to compute $\frac{d}{dX} \Big|_{X=0} a_p(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{d}{dX} \Big|_{X=0} \chi_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{cusp}}}(\mathrm{Frob}_p)$ we use some computations from the proof of Proposition 2.7. Comparing $\det(\rho_\epsilon) = \phi(1 + \epsilon(\lambda + \mu)\eta_{\mathbb{1}})$ from (20) with (26) gives $\lambda + \mu = \frac{1}{\log_p(1 + p^\nu)}$. Combining this with $\mu\mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1}) = \lambda\mathcal{L}(\phi)$ from (23) we obtain

$$(49) \quad \lambda = \frac{\mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1})}{(\mathcal{L}(\phi) + \mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1})) \log_p(1 + p^\nu)} \quad \mu = \frac{\mathcal{L}(\phi)}{(\mathcal{L}(\phi) + \mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1})) \log_p(1 + p^\nu)}$$

By (21) one finds $\frac{d}{dX} \Big|_{X=0} \chi_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{cusp}}}(\mathrm{Frob}_p) = -\mu\mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1}) = -\frac{\mathcal{L}(\phi)\mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1})}{(\mathcal{L}(\phi) + \mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1})) \log_p(1 + p^\nu)}$ as claimed.

It remains to compute the derivative of $a_\ell(\mathcal{F})$ for primes $\ell \mid N$. From Theorem 4.4, $a_\ell(\mathcal{F})$ is given by the action of Frob_ℓ on the \mathcal{M}^{ℓ} . By the proof of Proposition 2.7 and (49) we get

$$\frac{d}{dX} \Big|_{X=0} a_\ell(\mathcal{F}) = \mu\eta_{\mathbb{1}}(\mathrm{Frob}_\ell) = \frac{\mathcal{L}(\phi) \log_p(\ell)}{(\mathcal{L}(\phi) + \mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1})) \log_p(1 + p^\nu)},$$

yielding (48) also for $\ell \mid N$, as in this case $\phi(\ell) = 0$. \square

Let $M_{\kappa(f)}^\dagger$ (resp. $S_{\kappa(f)}^\dagger$) be the space of ordinary overconvergent p -adic modular forms (resp. cuspforms) with coefficients in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ of weight 1 and central character ϕ . Let $\mathcal{T}_{\kappa(f)} = \mathcal{T}/\mathfrak{m}_\Lambda \mathcal{T}$ be the Hecke algebra acting on $M_{\kappa(f)}^\dagger$. The eigenform f corresponds to the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_f \subset \mathcal{T}_{\kappa(f)}$. For $i \geq 1$, denote by $M_{\kappa(f)}^\dagger[\mathfrak{m}_f^i]$ the subspace of $M_{\kappa(f)}^\dagger$ annihilated by \mathfrak{m}_f^i .

Proof of Theorem D. By definition the generalised eigenspace at f is given by the $\mathcal{T}/\mathfrak{m}_A\mathcal{T}$ -module $M_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^\dagger/\mathfrak{m}_A M_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^\dagger$. By Theorem 5.1 $\mathfrak{m}_f^2\mathcal{T} \subset \mathfrak{m}_A\mathcal{T}$, hence $M^\dagger[[f]] = M^\dagger[\mathfrak{m}_f^2]$. Note that by Proposition 5.5 one already knows that $\dim_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} M^\dagger[\mathfrak{m}_f^2] = \dim_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} (\mathcal{T}/\mathfrak{m}_f^2\mathcal{T}) = 3$. By Proposition 5.4, the specialisations of the families $E_{\phi,1}(X)$ and $E_{1,\phi}(X)$ at $X = 0$ span a complement of $S^\dagger[[f]]$ in $M^\dagger[[f]]$. Consider

$$(50) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{1,\phi}^\dagger &= \frac{(\mathcal{L}(\phi) + \mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1})) \log_p(1+p^\nu)}{\mathcal{L}(\phi)} E_{1,\phi}(0) = \frac{(\mathcal{L}(\phi) + \mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1})) \log_p(1+p^\nu)}{\mathcal{L}(\phi)} \frac{d}{dX} \Big|_{X=0} (\mathcal{E}_{1,\phi} - \mathcal{F}), \\ f_{\phi,1}^\dagger &= \frac{(\mathcal{L}(\phi) + \mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1})) \log_p(1+p^\nu)}{\mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1})} E_{\phi,1}(0) = \frac{(\mathcal{L}(\phi) + \mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1})) \log_p(1+p^\nu)}{\mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1})} \frac{d}{dX} \Big|_{X=0} (\mathcal{E}_{\phi,1} - \mathcal{F}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $a_p(\mathcal{E}_{1,\phi}) = a_p(\mathcal{E}_{\phi,1}) = 1$ one finds that $\frac{d}{dX} \Big|_{X=0} a_p(\mathcal{E}_{1,\phi}) = \frac{d}{dX} \Big|_{X=0} a_p(\mathcal{E}_{\phi,1}) = 0$. Further $a_\ell(\mathcal{E}_{1,\phi}) = 1 + \phi(\ell)\chi_{\text{cyc}}(\ell)$ and $a_\ell(\mathcal{E}_{\phi,1}) = \phi(\ell) + \chi_{\text{cyc}}(\ell)$, together with (26) yields that

$$\frac{d}{dX} \Big|_{X=0} a_\ell(\mathcal{E}_{1,\phi}) = \phi(\ell) \frac{\log_p(\ell)}{\log_p(1+p^\nu)}, \text{ and } \frac{d}{dX} \Big|_{X=0} a_\ell(\mathcal{E}_{\phi,1}) = \frac{\log_p(\ell)}{\log_p(1+p^\nu)}.$$

Combining these formulas with those given in Proposition 5.7 we obtain the desired formulas for the non-constant coefficients of $f_{1,\phi}^\dagger$ and $f_{\phi,1}^\dagger$ defined in (50):

$$a_p(f_{1,\phi}^\dagger) = \mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1}), a_p(f_{\phi,1}^\dagger) = \mathcal{L}(\phi) \text{ and } a_\ell(f_{1,\phi}^\dagger) = (\phi(\ell) - 1) \log_p(\ell) = -a_\ell(f_{\phi,1}^\dagger).$$

In order to compute the remaining positive coefficients of $f^\dagger = f_{1,\phi}^\dagger$ or $f_{\phi,1}^\dagger$ we observe that since $\mathcal{E}_{1,\phi}$, $\mathcal{E}_{\phi,1}$ and \mathcal{F} are normalised eigenform for all Hecke operators $(T_n)_{n \geq 1}$ (see Prop.4.4 for \mathcal{F}), the classical relations between abstract Hecke operators imply:

$$(51) \quad \begin{aligned} a_{mn}(f^\dagger) &= a_m(f) a_n(f^\dagger) + a_n(f) a_m(f^\dagger), \text{ for } (n, m) = 1, \\ a_{\ell^r}(f^\dagger) &= r a_\ell(f)^{r-1} a_\ell(f^\dagger) = r a_\ell(f^\dagger) \text{ for all primes } \ell \mid Np, r \geq 1, \text{ and} \\ a_{\ell^r}(f^\dagger) &= a_\ell(f) a_{\ell^{r-1}}(f^\dagger) + a_{\ell^{r-1}}(f) a_\ell(f^\dagger) - \phi(\ell) a_{\ell^{r-2}}(f^\dagger) \text{ for } \ell \nmid Np, r \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a_{\ell^r}(f) = \sum_{i=0}^r \phi(\ell)^i$ for all primes $\ell \neq p$, an induction on r yields

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\ell^r}(f^\dagger) &= a_\ell(f^\dagger) \sum_{i=0}^r (i+1)(r-i)\phi(\ell)^i, \text{ hence} \\ a_{\ell^r}(f_{1,\phi}^\dagger) &= \sum_{i=0}^r (2i-r)\phi(\ell)^i \log_p(\ell) = -a_{\ell^r}(f_{\phi,1}^\dagger), \text{ for all primes } \ell \neq p. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (51) yields the desired formulas for $a_n(f_{1,\phi}^\dagger)$ and $a_n(f_{\phi,1}^\dagger)$ for all $n \geq 1$.

We have $a_0(f_{\phi,1}^\dagger) = 0$ because $a_0(\mathcal{E}_{\phi,1})$ is identically zero. To compute $a_0(f_{1,\phi}^\dagger)$ we notice that by the above computations one has $a_n \left(\frac{f_{1,\phi}^\dagger + f_{\phi,1}^\dagger}{\mathcal{L}(\phi) + \mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1})} \right) = a_n(E_1(1, \phi) - f)$ for all $n \geq 1$, where $E_1(1, \phi)$ is the classical Eisenstein series defined in 1. We then deduce from Proposition 5.5 that $a_0 \left(\frac{f_{1,\phi}^\dagger + f_{\phi,1}^\dagger}{\mathcal{L}(\phi) + \mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1})} \right) = a_0(E_1(1, \phi) - f)$ as well, hence

$$(52) \quad a_0(f_{1,\phi}^\dagger) = (\mathcal{L}(\phi) + \mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1})) \frac{L(\phi, 0)}{2}.$$

□

Corollary 5.8. $L'_p(\phi\omega_p, 0) = -\mathcal{L}(\phi)L(\phi, 0)$.

Proof. We will now compute $a_0(f_{\mathbb{1},\phi}^\dagger)$ by a different method and obtain the formula for the derivative of the Kubota-Leopoldt p -adic L -function (see [12, (8)]). By Proposition 4.7 one has $a_0(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{1},\phi}) = \frac{\zeta_\phi}{2}$. Since by (39) one has

$$\zeta_\phi((1+p^\nu)^{s-1} - 1) = L_p(\phi\omega_p, 1-s),$$

taking derivatives yields $\zeta'_\phi(0) = -\frac{L'_p(\phi\omega_p, 0)}{\log_p(1+p^\nu)}$. Using (50) one finds:

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}(\phi)}{(\mathcal{L}(\phi) + \mathcal{L}(\phi^{-1}))} a_0(f_{\mathbb{1},\phi}^\dagger) = \log_p(1+p^\nu) \frac{d}{dX} \Big|_{X=0} a_0(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{1},\phi}) = \log_p(1+p^\nu) \frac{\zeta'_\phi(0)}{2} = -\frac{L'_p(\phi\omega_p, 0)}{2}.$$

From (52) and the latter we obtain $L'_p(\phi\omega_p, 0) = -\mathcal{L}(\phi)L(\phi, 0)$. \square

Acknowledgements: The authors are mostly indebted to D. Benois and H. Darmon for numerous stimulating discussions that helped this project emerge. The authors would also like to thank J. Bellaïche, T. Berger, A. Burungale, A. Lauder, E. Lecouturier, V. Rotger and P. Kassaei, P. Wake and C. Wang-Erickson for their interest and helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. ANDREATTA, A. IOVITA, G. STEVENS, *Overconvergent Eichler-Shimura isomorphisms*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **14** (2015), 221–274.
- [2] A. BRUMER, *On the units of algebraic number fields*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **14**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1967, 121–124.
- [3] J. BELLAÏCHE, M. DIMITROV, *On the eigencurve at classical weight 1 points*, Duke Math. J. **165** (2016), 245–266.
- [4] J. BELLAÏCHE, *Critical p -adic L -functions*, Invent. Math. **189** (2012), 1–60.
- [5] J. BELLAÏCHE, G. CHENEVIER, *Lissité de la courbe de Hecke de $GL(2)$ aux points Eisenstein critiques*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **5** (2006), 333–349.
- [6] ———, *Families of Galois representations and Selmer groups*, Astérisque **324** (2009), xii+314 pp.
- [7] W. BRUNS, J. HERZOG, *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **39**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993. xii+403 pp.
- [8] A. BURUNGALE, C. SKINNER, Y. TIAN, *Elliptic curves and Beilinson–Kato elements: rank one aspects*, preprint (2019).
- [9] D. CASAZZA, V. ROTGER, *Stark points and Hida-Rankin p -adic L -functions*, Ramanujan J. Math. **45** (2018), 451–473.
- [10] G. CHENEVIER, *Familles p -adiques de formes automorphes pour GL_n* , J. Reine Angew. Math. **570** (2004), 143–217.
- [11] R. COLEMAN, *Classical and overconvergent modular forms*, Invent. Math. **124** (1996), 215–241.
- [12] S. DASGUPTA, H. DARMON, R. POLLACK, *Hilbert modular forms and the Gross-Stark conjecture*. Ann. of Math. **174** (2011), 439–484.
- [13] H. DARMON, A. LAUDER, V. ROTGER, *Stark points and p -adic iterated integrals attached to modular forms of weight 1*, Forum Math. Pi **3** (2015), e8, 95 pp.
- [14] ———, *Overconvergent generalised eigenforms of weight 1 and class fields of real quadratic field*, Adv. Math. **283** (2015), 130–142.

- [15] ———, *First order p -adic deformations of weight 1 newforms*, In “L-functions and automorphic forms”, Contributions in Mathematical and Computational Sciences **12** (2018), 39–80.
- [16] M. DIMITROV, *On the local structure of ordinary Hecke algebras at classical weight 1 points*, In Automorphic forms and Galois representations. Vol. 2, 1–16, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **415**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [17] M. DIMITROV, E. GHATE, *On classical weight 1 forms in Hida families*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux **24** (2012), 669–690.
- [18] D. EISENBUD, *Commutative algebra. With a view toward algebraic geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **150**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. xvi+785 pp.
- [19] M. EMERTON, *The Eisenstein ideal in Hida’s ordinary Hecke algebra*. Internat. Math. Res. Notices **15** (1999), 793–802.
- [20] B. GROSS, *p -adic L -series at $s=0$* , J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokio **28** (1982), 979–994.
- [21] H. HIDA, *Galois representations into $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]])$ attached to ordinary cuspforms*, Invent. Math. **85** (1986), 545–613.
- [22] M. KISIN, *The Fontaine-Mazur conjecture for GL_2* , J. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (2009), 641–690.
- [23] A. LAUDER, *Computations with classical and p -adic modular forms*, LMS J. Comput. Math. **14** (2011), 214–231.
- [24] E. LECOUTURIER, *On the Galois structure of the class group of certain Kummer extensions*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **98** (2018), 35–58.
- [25] H. LENSTRA, JR, *Complete intersections and Gorenstein rings*, In Elliptic curves, modular forms, Fermat’s last theorem (Hong Kong, 1993), Ser. Number Theory, I, pp. 99–109. Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995.
- [26] B. MAZUR, *Modular curves and the Eisenstein ideal*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **47** (1977), pp. 33–186.
- [27] B. MAZUR, *Deforming Galois representations*, In Galois groups over \mathbb{Q} (Berkeley, CA, 1987), Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. **16**, Springer, New York-Berlin, pp. 395–437, 1989.
- [28] B. MAZUR AND A. WILES, *Class fields of abelian extensions of \mathbb{Q}* . Invent. Math. **76** (1984), 179–330.
- [29] L. MEREL, *L’accouplement de Weil entre le sous-groupe de Shimura et le sous-groupe cuspidal de $J_0(p)$* , J. Reine Angew. Math. **477** (1996), 71–115.
- [30] M. NEKOVAR, *Selmer complexes*, Astérisque **310** (2006), viii+559 pp.
- [31] M. OHTA, *On the p -adic Eichler-Shimura isomorphism for λ -adic cuspforms*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **463** (1995), 49–98.
- [32] ———, *Congruence modules related to Eisenstein series*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **36** (2003), 225–269.
- [33] T. OZAWA, *Constant terms of Eisenstein series over a totally real field*, Int. J. Number Theory **13**, (2017), 309–324.
- [34] V. PILLONI, *Overconvergent modular forms*. Ann. Inst. Fourier **63** (2013), 219–239.
- [35] A. WILES, *On ordinary λ -adic representations associated to modular forms*, Invent. Math. **94** (1988), 529–573.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF SHEFFIELD, SHEFFIELD S3 7RH, UK.
Email address: a.betina@sheffield.ac.uk

UNIVERSITY OF LILLE, CNRS, UMR 8524 – LABORATOIRE PAUL PAINLEVÉ, 59000 LILLE, FRANCE.
Email address: mladen.dimitrov@univ-lille.fr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY COLLEGE LONDON, LONDON WC1H 0AY.
Email address: a.pozzi@ucl.ac.uk